NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

FAULT TOLERANT COMPUTING TESTBED: A TOOL FOR THE ANALYSIS OF HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE FAULT HANDLING TECHNIQUES

by

John C. Payne, Jr.

December 1998

Thesis Advisor:

Second Reader:

Alan Ross

Douglas J. Fouts

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

19990122 104

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE December 1998	3. REPORT T Master's The	YPE AND DATES COVERED esis
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE FAULT TOLERANT COMPUTING TESTBE HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE FAULT HA		SIS OF	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Payne, John C. Jr.			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AD Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	DRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
The views expressed in this thesis are those of the Defense or the U.S. Government.	author and do not reflect the officia	l policy or pos	ition of the Department of
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite	d.		

13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words)

Operating computers in space requires the use of very expensive radiation hardened microelectronics devices. Unfortunately, the United States radiation hardened market is rapidly shrinking and makes up a very small percentage of the commercial market. For these reasons, and the fact that commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) devices are cheaper, more capable, readily available, and software availability is much greater, the use of COTS devices in future space systems is fast becoming a reality. A significant disadvantage of COTS devices is their susceptibility to radiation induced single event upsets (SEUs), among other radiation effects which are detrimental to electronic systems.

This thesis focuses on the board level design of a tool which enables the analysis of fault tolerant computing techniques in a laboratory environment in the presence of radiation induced SEUs. When implemented, this tool will be beneficial to the study of using COTS devices in space. The tool will provide the capability to analyze the performance of hardware redundancy techniques and software algorithms intended to improve the performance of COTS microprocessors in this environment prior to their use in designs intended for actual space applications. Cadence ConceptTM design schematics, associated Verilog® code and simulation results are presented to develop this concept.

14. SUBJECT TERMS Fault Tolerant Computing, Triple M Single Event Upsets (SEUs), Cadence	odular Redundancy (TMR), Commerce Concept Schematic, Verilog	ial-off-the-shelf (COTS) Devices,	15. NUMBER OF PAGES
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

ii

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FAULT TOLERANT COMPUTING TESTBED: A TOOL FOR THE ANALYSIS OF HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE FAULT HANDLING TECHNIQUES

John C. Payne, Jr.
Lieutenant, United States Navy
B.S., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1990

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1998

Author:

John C. Payne, Jr.

Approved by:

Alan Ross, Thesis Advisor

Douglas J. Fouts, Second Reader

Jeffrey B. Knorr, Chairman

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

ABSTRACT

Operating computers in space requires the use of very expensive radiation hardened microelectronics devices. Unfortunately, the United States radiation hardened market is rapidly shrinking and makes up a very small percentage of the commercial market. For these reasons, and the fact that commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) devices are cheaper, more capable, readily available, and software availability is much greater, the use of COTS devices in future space systems is fast becoming a reality. A significant disadvantage of COTS devices is their susceptibility to radiation induced single event upsets (SEUs), among other radiation effects which are detrimental to electronic systems.

This thesis focuses on the board level design of a tool which enables the analysis of fault tolerant computing techniques in a laboratory environment in the presence of radiation induced SEUs. When implemented, this tool will be beneficial to the study of using COTS devices in space. The tool will provide the capability to analyze the performance of hardware redundancy techniques and software algorithms intended to improve the performance of COTS microprocessors in this environment prior to their use in designs intended for actual space applications. Cadence ConceptTM design schematics, associated Verilog[®] code and simulation results are presented to develop this concept.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.		RODUCTION1
	B.	BACKGROUND
	_	THESIS ORGANIZATION
II.		CESSOR SELECTION
		CHARACTERISTICS 1. COTS vs. Rad-hard 2. CISC vs. RISC 3. Size, Pinout, Power 4. Bus Width and Memory Size 1.
	В. С.	5. Speed
	D.	7. System Usage
III.		WARE REDUNDANCY31
	в.	TRIPLE MODULAR REDUNDANCY (TMR)
IV.		TESTBED DESIGN45
	B. C. E. F. G.	OVERVIEW 45 1. Testbed Operation Summary 46 2. IDT R3081 Simulation 49 IDT R3081 BUS INTERFACE 50 ADDRESS/DATA BUS DEMULTIPLEXING 60 DATA BUS VOTING 61 ADDRESS BUS VOTING 63 CONTROL BUS VOTING 64 ADDRESS DECODER 65 MEMORY/ERROR CYCLE CONTROLLER 65 1. RAM/ROM Cycle Controller 68
		2. FIFO Memory Cycle Controller
V.	-	ULATION RESULTS 79
	В.	NORMAL (ERROR FREE) RESULTS
VI.	CONC	CLUSION93
APPENI	DIX A	A. TMR TESTBED DESIGN SCHEMATICS99

APPENDIX	B. CADENCE SUPPLIED MODULES	107
A. B. C.	A74FCT373 TRANSPARENT LATCH	109
APPENDIX	C. USER DEFINED VERILOG® MODULES	111
A.B.C.D.E.G.H.J.	IDT R3081 RISC MICROPROCESSOR BUS SIMULATOR 32-BIT VOTER/ERROR DETECTOR AND TRANSCEIVER 8-BIT VOTER/ERROR DETECTOR 32-BIT VOTER/ERROR DETECTOR MEMORY/ADDRESS DECODER MEMORY/ERROR CONTROLLER MEMORY READ/WRITE ENABLE CONTROLLER 16-BIT NON-INVERTING TRI-STATE BUFFER EPROM SYSTEM INTERFACE	125 129 132 134 136 142 145
APPENDIX	D. CADENCE SCRIPT CONTROL LANGUAGE FILES	157
A. B.	NORMAL (ERROR FREE) SCL FILE	
LIST OF R	EFERENCES	169
TNTTTAL D	ער פיים דים וויידר אין וו דיכיים	171

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		IDT R3081 Block Diagram. From Ref. [5]
Figure	2.	Instruction Formats. After Ref. [5]
Figure	3.	5-Instructions per Clock Cycle. After Ref. [5] 26
Figure	4.	Triple Modular Redundancy. After Ref. [6]
Figure	5.	TMR with triplicated voters. After Ref. [6] 33
Figure	6.	Multiple-stage TMR system. After Ref. [6]34
Figure	7.	1-bit majority voter. After Ref. [6]
Figure	8.	Mid-value select technique. After Ref. [6] 38
Figure		Simple R3081 Board Design. After Ref. [9]
Figure		TMR R3081 Board Design42
Figure	11.	Testbed FIFO Interface44
Figure		IDT R0381 Burst Read Cycle. From Ref. [9]
Figure	13.	IDT R3081 Write Cycle. From Ref. [9]
Figure		IDT R3081 Single Datum Read. From Ref. [9]
Figure		IDT R3081 Bus Interface Simulator 53
Figure		Simulated R3081 Burst Read Cycle 56
Figure		Simulated R3081 Write Cycle 58
Figure		Simulated R3081 Read Cycle59
Figure		Address/Data Bus Demultiplexing
Figure		Data Bus Voting
Figure		Address Bus Voting
Figure		Control Bus Voting
Figure		Address Decoder
Figure		Memory/Error Cycle Controller
Figure		FIFO Controls70
Figure		FIFO Controls During Burst Read Cycle
Figure		FIFO Controls During Write Cycle
Figure		FIFO Controls During Read Cycle
Figure		System Interface
Figure		System Interface Controls
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (1 of 11)96
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (2 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (3 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (4 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (5 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (6 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (7 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (8 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (9 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (10 of 11)
Figure		TMR Testbed Schematic (11 of 11)
Figure		A74FCT373 Transparent Latch
Figure		
Figure		Address Demultiplexer Schematic
Figure		IDT71256 SRAM
Figure		IDT72225LA FIFO
Figure		R3081 Microprocessor Bus Simulator
Figure		32-Bit Voter/Error Detector and Transceiver
Figure		8-Bit Voter/Error Detector
Figure		32-Bit Voter/Error Detector
-		Memory/Address Decoder
Figure Figure		Memory/Error Controller
_		Memory Read/Write Enable Controller
Figure		142 16-Bit Non-Inverting Tri-State Buffer
Figure		EPROM
Figure		System Interface 150

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Mr. Kenny Clark and Mr. Mark Hall for their assistance in obtaining information about the triple-vote R3081 experiment which was part of the Microelectronics and Photonics Testbed.

The author wants to thank Professor Ross for his guidance and patience during the work in performing this investigation.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

A fault tolerant system is one that can continue the correct performance of its specified tasks in the presence of hardware and/or software faults. Fault tolerance is the attribute that enables a system to achieve fault tolerant operation. In many sensitive applications fault tolerant computing techniques are employed where the failure of these systems could lead to disastrous results. Examples of such sensitive applications include aircraft and spacecraft flight control systems and power plant control systems. A recent example of such a failure occurred with the loss of PanAmSat's Galaxy 4 satellite.

Galaxy 4's attitude control system and an identical backup unit conked out at approximately 6 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time May 19 [1998], sending the satellite into an uncontrolled spin. [Ref. 1]

While the loss of this satellite was not necessarily "disastrous," it could indeed prove to be very expensive.

The Galaxy 4 cost between \$200 million and \$250 million to build, launch, and insure. [Ref. 1]

In the space environment there are three categories of radiation effects in integrated circuits. Total Dose

Effects, Dose Rate Effects, and Single Event Effects.

Within Single Event Effects are the four sub-categories:

Single Event Upset (SEU), Single Event Latchup (SEL), Single Event Gate Rupture (SEGR), and Single Event Burnout (SEB).

Total Dose Effects and Dose Rate Effects are destructive effects in integrated circuits arising from solar flares, neutrons from nuclear detonations, and protons in the Van Allen belts. In addition, three of the subcategories of single event effects (SEL, SEGR, and SEB) are also destructive. These effects must be compensated for with the use of radiation hardening and shielding techniques. On the other hand, SEUs, which are essentially bit flips occurring within a device due to ionized charge being collected in a circuit, can be reduced by hardware architecture and software techniques such as redundancy.

Operating computers in the space environment requires the use of very expensive radiation hardened (rad-hard) devices. In addition to the use of rad-hard technology, space systems also employ many other approaches to fault tolerance such as hardware redundancy, fault tolerant software algorithms, error detecting/correcting codes, etc. While deploying reliable, fault tolerant computers in space will always require rad-hard components, the number of suppliers of such devices is decreasing and the costs of the

devices continues to increase. Many manufacturers are abandoning their production of rad-hard devices in favor of the more lucrative, booming consumer electronics industry. According to the May 1997 issue of Military & Space Electronics, "U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) leaders are struggling to find new ways to safeguard the dwindling supplier base of radiation-hardened microelectronics that are necessary to meet future spacecraft requirements." [Ref. 2]

While the commercial satellite industry may fill the void, it is estimated that DOD must increase investments from \$30 million per year to nearly \$60 million per year to advance the technology and ensure a base of reliable suppliers. [Ref. 2]

The issue is in the fabrication process of the microelectronic devices. The production of the unique radhard devices requires specialized processes and demand for them is considerably less than that for consumer electronics. With the costs of modern fabrication lines reaching nearly \$2.8 billion apiece, it is obviously cost prohibitive for companies to merely have two separate production facilities: one for rad-hard devices and one for non-rad-hard devices. A company producing both rad-hard and non-rad-hard devices will have to give up precious

fabrication time to make a few devices for a limited market. This precious time takes away from the production of microelectronics for a booming PC market and could mean millions, if not billions, of dollars in lost revenue. Herein lies the fundamental economic reason for the escalating prices of rad-hard microelectronics.

An approach to solving this problem, which is receiving considerable amount of research, is the development of new processes that allow companies to manufacture rad-hard devices without major changes to their fabrication process. Another possible approach is the development of alternative approaches in hardware and software fault tolerant design with non-rad-hard commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) microelectronics to reduce the dependency on rad-hard technology. This research project addresses the latter approach.

B. PURPOSE

The goal of this research is to develop a fault tolerant computing testbed for use as a tool for the analysis of hardware and software fault handling techniques. In particular, the testbed is intended to allow the analysis of techniques to resolve faults caused by single event upsets. The testbed computer will employ a three CPU, triple modular redundant (TMR), design. The TMR testbed

will allow flexibility in the hardware and software design enabling direct performance analysis of various approaches to fault tolerant design. The testbed will enable fault injection simulations and direct radiation testing on the system for data analysis and hardware/software benchmarking.

This project will help in the development of cheaper alternatives to the highly expensive radiation hardened devices. It will further the research of radiation testing and single-event upset research by providing a testbed for analysis of various hardware redundancy techniques as well as any software techniques chosen to be employed. The testbed will be used in direct radiation testing in a laboratory environment and/or placed in a satellite as an experimental payload to study the effects in the actual flux environment of the satellite. This study will benefit our development of small, economical satellites for both commercial and military use.

C. THESIS ORGANIZATION

The organization of this thesis largely follows the approach taken to the design of a TMR system. Chapter I is a brief introduction with background information. Chapter II describes the microprocessor selection process and the characteristics of the selected processor. Chapter III presents various topics in hardware redundancy including

triple modular redundancy, voting techniques, synchronization and timing issues. Chapter IV contains the actual hardware design of the testbed. Simulation and results are presented in Chapter V. Finally the conclusions drawn from this research are presented in Chapter VI.

II. PROCESSOR SELECTION

A. CHARACTERISTICS

The place to start when designing a computer is with processor selection. The selection of the processor, or processors in the case of hardware redundancy, is where critical decisions are made regarding expected operating environment, necessary performance, power consumption and space limitations.

1. COTS vs. Rad-Hard

In June 1994, a directive was issued by then Secretary of Defense William Perry requiring the use of COTS parts in military systems whenever possible. As previously discussed, the availability of rad-hard parts is diminishing and as a result military, NASA, and commercial spacecraft builders may eventually be forced to use COTS technology.

There are significant advantages to using COTS devices. COTS devices tend to be state-of-the-art and are therefore significantly more capable than rad-hard devices. To put it in perspective, often the choice is between a COTS Pentium or a rad-hard 286 or 386 microprocessor. As an example, in July 1998 Space Electronics announced intentions to release a single-board computer for space designed with primarily

COTS devices. This product, running at 66 MHz, is intended to compete with the RAD6000 from Lockheed Martin Federal Systems, which runs at 33 MHz and costs twice as much. [Ref.

3] The processor used in the new release product, the 6U VME SB486R radiation hardened 32-bit single board computer based on Intel's 80486 microprocessor, is still an order of magnitude slower than the 300-400 MHz microprocessors currently available for desktop PCs.

Other advantages of COTS systems include lower cost and better availability. Often a rad-hard microprocessor can cost many (10-15) thousands of dollars more than more capable, current technology COTS devices. In addition, rad-hard devices often have uncertain delivery times. Because of the declining rad-hard device market, these devices often must be special ordered from a limited number of available manufacturers. On the other hand, manufacturers of COTS devices often have stockpiles and can deliver a product within 24-48 hours. Many powerful COTS devices can even be obtained over the counter at several big name electronics stores.

Commercial software is much more available for COTS devices. Software development is a very costly part of building any computer system. As the complexity of microprocessors increases, so does the complexity of the

required software. If rad-hard devices are not identical to their COTS counterparts, software must be specially designed for this device. This is both expensive and time consuming. In addition, this specially designed software will have to undergo rigorous testing to check its response to unexpected situations. [Ref. 4] This is in contrast with software for COTS devices where large companies design software for these devices. The software becomes proven over time through the high volume of users and the consumers actually participate in the testing of these products.

Finally, while not necessarily an advantage of COTS devices themselves, it is possible to achieve some degree of radiation hardness by employing various techniques to shield COTS devices which are not themselves radiation hardened.

[Ref. 4] While the use of shielding has shown to improve the reliability of devices in radiation environments, it adds to the physical space and weight requirements.

However, there are disadvantages to using COTS devices. While the reliability of COTS devices used in benign environments is known, their reliability in stressing environments (radiation, thermal, vibration) is uncertain.

[Ref. 4] The susceptibility of COTS devices to radiation induced failures is a major concern, and survivability in the space environment may be difficult with many COTS

devices. While some COTS devices may have hardness levels of 100 kRADs or more, this hardness varies greatly from one device to another. This hardness varies even for devices produced by the same manufacturer. Because of this lack of hardness assurance by manufacturers, each individual device will have to undergo testing and effectively be space qualified.

Another disadvantage of COTS devices is they change rapidly. The semiconductor industry generally cycles new technology every 6-18 months. The devices continue to get faster, more capable, and require less power. The advantage here is clear for devices intended for the normal, nonstressing environment. However, as the devices get smaller, faster, and more complex, they are becoming more susceptible to radiation. Finally, in many cases, the required safety and reliability specifications, especially for military applications, simply cannot be met by COTS devices. [Ref. 4]

2. CISC vs. RISC

Reduced instruction set computer (RISC) machines were designed to take advantage of the caching, prefetching, pipelining, and superscalar methods that were invented to improve the performance of complex instruction set computer (CISC) machines. The CISC machines depend on long complex instructions. The operand access for these instructions

required complex address arithmetic. As a result, CISC machines were unable to take full advantage of these techniques.

The RISC focuses on reducing the number and complexity of instructions in the machine. This allows a reduction of actual machine hardware complexity. Early on, RISC machines operated such that each instruction completed in one clock cycle. This was achieved by limiting the instructions in RISC machines to a fixed length, usually 1 word. Thus, in a 32-bit machine, one 32-bit word specifies everything there is to know about the instruction.

With the advent of pipelining, the current goal is that (at least) one instruction will begin and (at least) one instruction will complete during every clock cycle. Since program execution time depends on throughput and not on individual instruction execution times, issuing (and thus completing) one instruction per clock cycle is an appropriate goal. This is achieved by making instructions simple, not by making the clock period longer.

3. Size, Pinout, Power

The size of the device determines the physical space required on the assembled board. Space and weight constraints are critical limitations imposed on systems for satellites and other space applications. Similarly, power

consumption is a critical factor in space applications where a steady, endless supply of power from a standard 120 volt outlet is not available. In applications where power comes from batteries and/or solar cells, available power is a precious commodity.

The pinout of the device is often directly related to its physical size. In addition, many devices reduce their pinout requirements by having individual address and data lines multiplexed together on one interface pin.

4. Bus Width and Memory Size

The bus width of COTS devices essentially follows current trends. While many processors are available today with 64-bit architectures, the RAD6000 microprocessor (considered to be the industry standard for radiation hardened microprocessors) incorporates a 32-bit architecture. Compared to 32-bit architectures, a 64-bit bus effectively doubles (design dependent) the pinout requirements and correspondingly increases the power consumption of the device.

As bus size increases, the complexity of the interconnectivity hardware increases as well. Particularly in a TMR design where 3 microprocessors are connected together with voting hardware, increasing the bus width from

32-bits to 64-bits requires a rather significant increase in hardware and logic.

The size of the physical memory that the processor can use is a significant factor in space applications as well. In space applications where large volume secondary storage media is generally not available, the bigger the physical memory potential the better. Of course, this is essentially limited by the bus architecture of the device. A device with a 64-bit bus can accommodate a larger physical memory space than a 32-bit bus device. Without large secondary storage media, all operations will be performed using ROM and RAM with varying combinations of ROM and RAM types depending on the application. Therefore, it is necessary that the available physical address (memory) space be large enough to accomplish the intended tasks.

5. Speed

The speed of the device is an important issue. However, in a TMR design, the speed at which the system can operate will be limited by the propagation time of the voting and vote error control logic as well as the memory setup and hold times. Although new personal computers are currently available with processors running at 300-400 MHz, the current new radiation hardened microprocessors run at 33-66 MHz.

The speed of the microprocessor chosen for this TMR design will be limited by the critical path logic propagation time in the several FPGAs chosen to implement the voting and vote error control.

6. Multiple Chip vs. Single Chip Implementations

The tradeoff associated with a single chip processor versus a processor which requires additional hardware peripheral devices is a significant issue. This is especially true in a TMR design where each address/data line as well as each control line has to be voted to ensure agreement between the three processors. In addition, in space applications the potential for radiation induced error increases with each additional piece of hardware added. Other problems include fault localization. With microprocessors with external peripheral device requirements, voting and vote error control complexity is increased. Also, board reliability is inversely proportional to the number of chips on it.

The overall complexity of the board design increases as well with microprocessors with external peripheral device requirements. In a TMR design, this increased complexity is compounded. In a single chip microprocessor, the associated interface complexity is internal to the device. Therefore radiation-induced faults are limited to a single device when

performing processor voting which corresponds to simpler voting logic and less hardware requirements.

B. PROCESSOR REVIEW

As part of this research, several microprocessors were analyzed based on the microprocessor characteristics discussed in the preceding section. Tables 1, 2, and 3 contain data concerning the various COTS CISC and RISC microprocessors that were considered in developing the testbed.

The processor chosen was the R3081 RISC Microcontroller manufactured by Integrated Device Technologies (IDT). The reasons for this selection were many. From the outset of this research project, the intent was to choose a COTS device for the TMR design.

The R3081 is a COTS, single chip, RISC architecture machine, with a 32-bit multiplexed address/data bus. The highly flexible and user configurable device can run between 20 and 50 MHz and is readily available.

The determining factor for selecting the R3081 was the availability of radiation environment performance data from the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL). The R3081 was used in a triple vote experiment deployed on the Microelectronics and Photonics Testbed (MPTB). The MPTB is a space experiment launched in 1997 into a high radiation orbit to

test performance, reliability, and survivability of new microelectronics and photonic devices operating in the space radiation environment. The triple vote experiment was one of 24 experiments onboard the MPTB which were individually scheduled by a core controller. The purpose of this experiment was to measure SEU, SEL, and Total Dose effects in IDT R3081 microprocessors vs. epi thickness. The three microprocessors used had epi thicknesses of 6, 8, and 12 microns respectively. The MPTB design was obtained from NRL and used as a starting point for the testbed designed in this research project.

Characteristic	AM29000	AM29050	PowerPC 603e
Manufacturer	AMD	AMD	IBM, Motorola
Processor	Streamlined	Streamlined	RISC
Architecture	Instruction	Instruction	
Package	168-PQFP or 169- PGA	169-PGA	
Floating Point Accelerator	Y (off chip)	Y	Y
Memory Management Unit	Y	Y	Y
Speed (MHz)	16-33	20-40	200-250
Integer Multiply/Divide	Y	N	Y
Bus Architecture	32-bit 3 bus	32-bit 3 bus	Selectable 64-/32- bit data bus, 32- bit address bus
Demultiplex Signal	N/A	N/A	N/A
Physical Address			
Space			
Power (watts)	< 1	< 1	3.5 - 5.8
Single Chip	N	Y	Y
Built-in Master/Slave	Y	Y	Y

Table 1. Microprocessor Review (1 of 3).

Characteristic	PowerPC 604e	PowerPC 750	R3081
Manufacturer	IBM, Motorola	IBM, Motorola	IDT
Processor Architecture	RISC	RISC	MIPS/RISC
Package	255-CBGA	360-CBGA	84-pin MQUAD/PLCC
Floating Point Accelerator	Y	Y	Y
Memory Management Unit	Y	Y	Y
Speed (MHz)	250-350	200-300	20-50
Integer Multiply/Divide	Y	Y (3)	Y (2)
Bus Architecture	64-bit data, 32- bit address	32-bit data, 64- bit address	32-bit address/data multiplexed
Demultiplex Signal	N/A	N/A	Y
Physical Address Space			4GB
Power (watts)	6.0-14.5	4.7-11.0	2.375-4.125
Single Chip	Y	Y	Y
Built-in Master/Slave	N	N	N

Table 2. Microprocessor Review (2 of 3).

Characteristic	R36100	R4650	R5000
Manufacturer	IDT	IDT	IDT
Processor	MIPS/RISC	MIPS-III/RISC	MIPS-IV/RISC
Architecture			
Package	208-pin MQUAD	288-pin MQUAD	223-pin CPGA or 272-ball SBGA
Floating Point	N ,	Y	Y
Accelerator			
Memory Management	Y	Y	Y
Unit			
Speed (MHz)	20-33	100-180	200
Integer	Y	Y	Y
Multiply/Divide			
Bus Architecture	8-, 16-, 32-bit	32- or 64-bit	64-bit
	programmable	address/data	address/data
	address and data	multiplexed	multiplexed
Demultiplex Signal	N/A	Y	Y
Physical Address	4GB	4GB	
Space			
Power (watts)	2-3	1.646-3.465	7.59-8.25
Single Chip	Y	Y	Y
Built-in Master/Slave	N	N	N

Table 3. Microprocessor Review (3 of 3).

C. CHARACTERISTICS OF SELECTED PROCESSOR

The IDT R30xx family of microprocessors is intended to offer the high-performance associated with the MIPS RISC architecture for low-cost, simplified, power sensitive applications. [Ref. 5] Some features of the R3081E include:

- High level of integration minimizes cost
- Over 40 MIPS at 50 MHz
- Low cost 84-pin packaging
- Large on-chip user configurable instruction and data caches
- On chip Floating Point Accelerator (FPA)
- 20 through 50 MHz operation
- Multiplexed address/data bus interface with low cost, low speed memory systems with high speed CPU support
- On-chip 4-deep write buffer eliminates memory write stalls
- On-chip 4-deep read buffer supports burst or simple block reads

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of the IDT R3081E microprocessor. Some of the highlights include:

- System Control Coprocessor (CPO)
 - ✓ Dedicated Exception/Control Registers
 - ✓ Dedicated Memory Management Registers
- Integer CPU Core
 - ✓ 32 32-bit general registers
 - ✓ ALU, Shifter, Mult/Div Unit, Address Adder, and PC Control
- Floating Point Coprocessor (CP1)
 - ✓ 16 64 bit registers
 - ✓ Exponent, Add, Divide, and Multiply Units
 - ✓ Floating Point Exception/Control
- Configurable Instruction and Data Caches
- 4-deep Read and Write Buffers




Figure 1. IDT R3081 Block Diagram. From Ref. [5].

1. CPU Core

The CPU Core is a full 32-bit RISC integer execution engine, capable of sustaining close to a single cycle per instruction rate. It contains a 5 stage pipeline and 32 orthogonal 32-bit registers. [Ref. 5]

2. System Control Co-Processor

The integrated on-chip System Control Co-Processor (CPO) manages both the exception handling of the CPU and the virtual to physical address mapping. The fully associative 64-entry Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB) maps 4kB virtual pages into the physical address space. The virtual to physical mapping includes kernel segments which are hard-mapped to physical addresses, and kernel and user segments which the TLB maps 4kB page by 4kB page into anywhere in the 4GB (potentially) physical address space. The TLB also allows 8 pages to be locked by the kernel to ensure deterministic response in real-time applications. [Ref. 5]

3. Floating Point Co-Processor

The R3081 also incorporates an integrated R3010A compatible FPA which is co-processor 1 (CP1) to the CPU. The high-performance co-processor provides separate add, multiply, and divide functional units for single and double precision floating point arithmetic. To the software

engineer, the FPA simply appears as an extension of the integer execution unit with 16 dedicated 64-bit floating point registers. The software references these as 32 32-bit registers when performing loads or stores. [Ref. 5]

4. Clock Generator Unit

The on-chip clock generator manages the interaction of the CPU core, caches, and bus interface. It includes a clock doubler to provide a higher frequency signal to the internal execution core. [Ref. 5]

5. Instruction and Data Caches

The on-chip cache is default configured to 16kB

Instruction Cache and 4kB Data Cache. However, the cache
can be reconfigured by system software to 8kB of Instruction
and 8kB of Data caches. The instruction cache is organized
with a line size of 16 bytes (four 32-bit entries) which
achieves hit rates in excess of 98% in most applications.

The data cache is organized as a line size of 4 bytes (one
word) and achieves hit rates near 95% in most applications.

The high hit rates associated with the instruction and data
cache contribute significantly to the performance of the
R3081E. The instruction cache is a direct mapped cache
capable of caching instructions from anywhere in the 4GB
physical address space. The instruction cache is

implemented using physical addresses and physical tags (rather than virtual addresses or tags) to eliminate the requirement of flushing on context switch. As with the instruction cache, the data cache is a direct mapped physical address cache capable of mapping any word within the 4GB physical address space. However, the data cache is implemented as a write-through cache to insure that main memory is always consistent with cache memory. In order to minimize processor stalls due to data write operations, the bus interface utilizes a 4-deep write buffer which "captures" address and data information at the processor execution rate, allowing it to be written to main memory at the memory speeds with minimum impact to overall system performance. [Ref. 5]

6. Bus Interface Unit

Because the R3081 uses its large internal caches to provide the majority of the bandwidth requirements of the execution engine, it can utilize a much simpler bus interface connection to slower memory. The bus interface utilizes a 32-bit address and data bus multiplexed onto a single set of pins. It also provides an ALE (Address Latch Enable) output signal to de-multiplex the A/D bus, and simple handshaking signals to process CPU read and write requests. The DMA Arbiter allows an external master to

control the external bus if desired. As described previously in the Instruction and Data Cache section, a 4deep write buffer decouples the speed of the execution engine from the speed of the main memory system. The write buffers capture and FIFO processor address and data information in store operations and schedule them on the bus at a rate that can be handled by the system memory. read interface is capable of both single word and quad word Single word reads utilize a simple handshake, and quad word reads can utilize either a simple handshake or a tighter timing mode when the memory system can burst data at the processor clock rate. In order to accommodate slower quad word reads, the 4-deep read buffer FIFO is utilized allowing the external interface to queue data within the processor before releasing it to perform a "burst" fill of the internal caches. [Ref. 5]

7. System Usage

The bus interface of the IDT R30xx (including the R3081E) family was specifically designed to allow a wide range of memory systems. A typical system using off-the-shelf logic devices contains simple transparent latches to de-multiplex the R30xx address and data busses and the A/D bus; the data path between the memory system and the A/D bus is managed by octal transceivers; and a small set of PALs is

used to control the various data path elements, and to control the handshake between the memory and the processor. [Ref. 5]


8. Instruction Set Architecture

All instructions and addresses are 32 bits and the CPU utilizes a 5-stage pipeline to achieve a near one instruction per clock cycle execution rate. There are five basic groups of instructions:

- Load/Store
 - ✓ Move data between memory and general registers
- Computational
 - ✓ Perform arithmetic, logical, and shift operations on values in registers
- Jump and Branch
 - ✓ Change control flow of program
- Co-Processor
 - ✓ Perform operations on the co-processor set
- Special
 - ✓ Movement of data between special and general registers, system calls, breakpoint operations

Figure 2 displays the instruction formats of the R3081 processor. Load/Store instructions are all encoded as Immediate, or I-Type, instructions. Computational instructions are encoded as either Register, or R-Type, instructions when both source operands and the result are general registers or I-Type when one of the source operands is a 16-bit immediate value. Jump and Branch instructions can be either J-Type (target address is PC + 26-bit

immediate value), R-Type (target address is 32-bit value contained in one of general registers), or I-Type (Branch Instructions where target address is formed from a 16-bit displacement relative to the PC). Jump and Link instructions save a return address in register R31. Coprocessor Loads and Stores are always I-Type. Special instructions are always encoded as R-Type. [Ref. 5]



where:			
op	6-bit operation code		
rs	5-bit source register specifier		
rt	5-bit target register or branch condition		
immediate	16-bit immediate, or branch or address displacement		
target	26-bit jump target address		
rd	5-bit destination register specifier		
shamt	5-bit shift amount		
funct	6-bit function field		

Figure 2. Instruction Formats. After Ref. [5].

Table 4 lists the instruction set mnemonics of R3081E processor.

9. The Pipeline Architecture

The execution of a single instruction is performed in five separate steps:

- Instruction Fetch (IF)
 - ✓ Instruction virtual address translated to physical address and read from internal instruction cache
- Read (RD)
 - ✓ Instruction decoded and required operands read
- ALU (ALU)
 - ✓ Required operation is performed
- Memory Access (MEM)
 - ✓ If instruction was a Load or Store, the data cache is accessed
- Write Back (WB)
 - ✓ Results from ALU step updated in on-chip register file

Figure 3 illustrates the pipeline and the capability to execute 5 instructions per cycle. Pipeline hazards in the




Figure 3. 5-Instructions per Clock Cycle. After Ref. [5].

R3081 are handled in both hardware and software. hardware methods used are forwarding and stalling (minimal). The hardware methods deal with instructions that need a result from the register file of the immediately prior instruction and in integer multiply and divide operations where an instruction attempts to access the LO or HI registers prior to completion of the multiply or divide. this happens, the requesting instruction will be blocked until the result is ready. The software method used is an optimizing compiler and peephole scheduler of the assembler. Two instruction classes which use the software method are Load instructions and Jump and Branch instructions. Both of these instruction classes have a delay, or latency, of one cycle. Rather than include extensive pipeline control logic, the CPU gives responsibility for dealing with "delay slots" to software. The peephole optimizer, performed as a part of compilation or assembly, can reorder the code to insure that the instruction in the delay slot does not require the logical result of the "delayed" instruction. [Ref. 5]

D. SUMMARY

Having completed a review of some of the desired characteristics of a microprocessor to be investigated when designing a system, the IDT R3081 RISC microprocessor was

OP	DESCRIPTION	OP	DESCRIPTION
	Load/Store Instructions		Multiply/Divide Instructions
LB	Load Byte	MULT	Multiply
LBU	Load Byte Unsigned	MULTU	Multiply Unsigned
LH	Load Halfword	DIV	Divide
LHU	Load Halfword Unsigned	DIVU	Divide Unsigned
LW	Load Word	DIVO	Divide onsigned
LWL	Load Word Left	10000	
LWR		MFHI	Move From HI
SB	Load Word Right	MTHI	Move To HI
SH	Store Byte	MFLO	Move From LO
	Store Halfword	MTLO	Move To LO
SW	Store Word		
SWL	Store Word Left		Jump and Branch Instructions
SWR	Store Word Right	J	Jump
		JAL	Jump and Link
	Arithmetic Instructions	JR	Jump to Register
	(ALU Immediate)		
ADDI	Add Immediate	JALR	Tump and Link Bogianov
ADDIU	Add Immediate Unsigned	BEO	Jump and Link Register
SLTI	Set on Less Than Immediate		Branch on Equal
SLTIU		BNE	Branch on Not Equal
	Set on Less Than Immediate Unsigned	BLEZ	Branch on Less Than or Equal to Zero
ANDI	AND Immediate	BGTZ	Branch on Greater Than Zero
ORI	OR Immediate	BLTZ	Branch on Less Than Zero
XORI	Exclusive OR Immediate	BGEZ	Branch on Greater Than or Equal to Zero
LUI	Load Upper Immediate	BLTZAL	Branch on Less Than Zero and Link
		BGEZAL	Branch on Greater Than or Equal to Zero and Link
	Arithmetic Instructions		Special Operations
ADD	(3-operand, register type)		
	Add	SYSCALL	System Call
ADDU	Add Unsigned	BREAK	Break
SUB	Subtract		
SUBU	Subtract Unsigned		Coprocessor Instructions
SLT	Set on Less Than	LWCz	Load Word from Coprocessor
SLTU	Set on Less Than Unsigned	SWCz	Store Word to Coprocessor
AND	AND	MTCz	Move to Coprocessor
OR	OR	MFCz	Move from Coprocessor
XOR	Exclusive OR	CTCz	Move Control to Coprocessor
NOR	NOR	CFCz	Move Control from Coprocessor
		COPz	Coprocessor Operation
	Shift Instructions	BCzT	Branch on Coprocessor z True
SLL	Shift Left Logical	BCzF	Branch on Coprocessor z False
SRL	Shift Right Logical	1	Talse
SRA	Shift Right Arithmetic		System Control Coprocessor (CPO)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
SLLV	Shift Left Logical Variable	MMCO	Instructions
SRLV	Shift Right Logical Variable	MTC0	Move to CPO
SRAV		MFC0	Move from CPO
SKAV	Shift Right Arithmetic Variable	TLBR	Read Indexed TLB Entry
		TLBWI	Write Indexed TLB Entry
		TLBWR	Write Random TLB Entry
		TLBP	Probe TLB for Matching Entry
		RFE	Restore from Exception

Table 4. Instruction Mnemonics. After Ref. [5].

chosen. Although the performance of the R3081 is much less than that of the current microprocessors available, it does have the performance and computing power necessary for analyzing fault tolerant improvement techniques in the presence of radiation induced SEUs. In addition, the R3081 has previously been tested by the Naval Research Laboratory and flown in actual space satellite experiments. Finally, the R3081 employs a flexible bus interface which makes it a good candidate for use in a redundant hardware design.

In the next chapter, some of the concepts of triple modular redundancy, a hardware redundancy technique, are covered. This is followed by a description of a simple R3081 based system. Finally, a brief overview of how three R3081 processors were incorporated into a redundant design is presented.

III. HARDWARE REDUNDANCY

There are many techniques available to achieve some degree of fault tolerance. Fault tolerant systems basically employ some combination of hardware, software, time, or information redundancy. The purpose of this chapter is to introduce the concept of triple modular redundancy (TMR). The initial design of the testbed will employ a TMR design and as such TMR issues are dealt with thoroughly. The overall goal of this project is to design a testbed which allows flexibility in the ultimate methods employed to achieve fault tolerance. This will allow the user to compare and contrast the fault tolerant performance of many combinations of the different techniques.

A. TRIPLE MODULAR REDUNDANCY (TMR)

A common form of hardware redundancy is triple modular redundancy (TMR). The basic concept is fairly simple. It involves the triplication of the hardware and performing a majority vote to determine the output of the system. This technique is considered to be passive hardware redundancy in that it masks the occurrence of faults. Fault tolerance is achieved through the use of majority voting techniques without the need for fault detection or system recovery.

[Ref. 6] If one of the modules becomes faulty, the two

remaining modules, which are fault-free, mask the fault when the majority vote is performed. In short, TMR uses three identical modules, performing identical operations, with a majority voter determining the output, as shown in Figure 4.

In a TMR system with three microprocessors, an SEU could cause one processor to branch to a completely wrong address. That processor will continue to cause errors on all votes until it is reset to the state of the correct processors. Until it is reset, the system is no longer a TMR system. It is a dual processor with comparison system which provides for error detection but no error correction.

One of the primary disadvantages with a TMR system is that the system can be no more reliable than the voter itself. Indeed the voter becomes a single point of failure—if the voter fails, the entire system fails. [Ref. 6] Several techniques can be used to overcome this. One method is the use of triplicated voters which produce three independent outputs. Here again three identical modules receive identical inputs and perform the same operations on those inputs. Each module provides its output to three separate and independent voters to produce the three results, as shown in Figure 5. Each output is correct as long as no more than one module, or input, is faulty. In essence, the voter is no longer the single point of failure.

A multi-stage TMR system can be built by interconnecting this triplicated voter approach as shown in Figure 6. [Ref. 6] A multi-stage system with triplicated voters can provide some error correction in that an error in a module of one stage is masked and the voters provide three




Figure 4. Triple Modular Redundancy. After Ref. [6].




Figure 5. TMR with triplicated voters. After Ref. [6].

independent and "corrected" results to the next stage. At the final stage, the three independent outputs can then be voted again to form a single output. However, this final voter could again become the single point of failure.




Figure 6. Multiple-stage TMR system. After Ref. [6].

A generalization of the TMR approach is N-Modular R-R-M0 (N0). [Ref. 6] TMR is based on the techniques of NMR. There are N redundant modules vice three. In general, N is chosen to be odd so that majority voting techniques can still be applied. The advantage gained is that more module faults may be tolerated. In an NMR system with N redundant modules, majority voting will allow the system to tolerate faults in $\lceil N/2 \rceil - 1$ modules. The primary concerns associated with NMR system deal with added logic hardware and circuit complexity. Clearly, one could design a system that continues to employ NMR voting at

multiple stages to improve system reliability. Referring to Figure 5, the triplicated voters could even be voted again to ensure faults are detected in the voters themselves. This could conceivably continue in an endless cycle. Practical applications and design constraints often prevail and are the limiting factor to choosing N in an NMR system. [Ref. 6]

1. Voting Techniques

Voting may occur at several locations within a system. Take, for example, a TMR system used as an industrial process controller. [Ref. 6] The controller could sample from three identical, independent sensors and perform a vote to determine which sensor value to use. This data is provided to three identical, independent modules to perform some calculations on the sensor data, and then a majority vote on these calculations is performed to perhaps adjust the controls of the process. The voting can be used on both analog and digital data. This approach masks and contains the effect of a faulty sensor. An alternative method might be to provide the values from each of the three sensors directly to a dedicated module, perform the necessary calculations, and then vote the results from the three modules. Here, faulty sensor data would be allowed to migrate into the processing modules. The tradeoffs between

the two approaches are slight but would obviously have to be analyzed to determine the appropriate design based on the application.

A hardware voter is a relatively simple circuit to design and implement. All that is needed is a combinational logic circuit that produces a 1 when a majority of the input bits are 1 and a 0 when a majority of the input bits are 0. An implementation of a one-bit majority voter is shown in Figure 7. Alternately, the carry out output of a 1-bit full adder will produce the necessary output to implement the 1-bit majority voter. An 8, 16, 32, or 64-bit voter can be constructed by replicating the circuit in Figure 7 in




Figure 7. 1-bit majority voter. After Ref. [6].

parallel for each bit that needs to be voted. One can see the amount of additional logic grows rapidly if, for instance, the three independent modules in a TMR system to be voted are 32-bit microprocessors. The desired

reliability will certainly have to be weighed against the space, power, and weight limitations, especially in satellite and other space applications.

2. Voting Issues

In practical applications, timing will have to be considered when performing majority voting. If the three inputs to a majority voter arrive at different times, then depending on when the output of the voter is sampled, an incorrect vote may be generated. In many applications, an incorrect result cannot be allowed even for a very small period of time. [Ref. 6] There are techniques which can be applied that will force the inputs to the voter to be synchronized so that the output of the voter is sampled at the correct time. One approach to achieving synchronization involves a two-phase clock which drives master-slave D flipflops on each input to the majority voter. The costs of using this synchronization approach will be in terms of additional logic and timing delays.

Another problem that may be encountered in hardware voting is that the three modules in a TMR system, or the three sensors that feed the three modules, could disagree slightly even in a fault-free environment. These devices, sensors in particular, can seldom be produced so that they generate identical results under the same circumstances. In

addition, a single analog-to-digital converter can produce results that differ slightly in the least significant bits, even if the exact same signal is applied to it several different times. [Ref. 6] One technique used to get around this is to ignore a set number of the least significant bits generated. The assumption is that the result will differ in only a known number of the least significant bits. An alternative approach is the mid-value select technique. The voter basically just selects the middle value of the three inputs as shown in Figure 8. Essentially, it is the same concept as a majority voter but is necessary when the three values may have slight perturbations between them. The middle value is chosen




Figure 8. Mid-value select technique. After Ref. [6].

because an assumption is made that only one of the inputs can be faulty at one time. Thus, since minor perturbations are expected the middle value will always be one from a "good" input. The middle value is chosen instead of taking an average of the three inputs. This is because in the event that one input is clearly faulty as shown in Figure 8, the average would be adversely affected. In effect, the faulty input is ignored by selecting the middle value.

Another problem that must be realized in a TMR system with majority voting is that identical errors in two of the modules will have to be tolerated. The errors will produce results that when passed to the voter will be selected as the majority. The possibility of this occurring and the consequences would definitely have to be investigated depending on the application.

A significant danger of incorporating redundancy into a system is that the overall system reliability could be reduced, due to the increased number of components. If the redundant systems are not themselves reliable, there is little hope of improving the reliability of the system.

[Ref. 7] For example, Wakerly notes that constructing a voting component for three microprocessors in a TMR structure could conceivably require 14 integrated circuit packages constructed from the same (unreliable) technology

as the three microprocessor packages, and hence would lead to a system with lower reliability than that of a single microprocessor chip. [Ref. 8] In addition, on a PC board, solder connections can be one of the largest sources of failure.

On the other hand, given that the redundant components are sufficiently reliable and the additional logic required is at least as reliable as the redundant modules, TMR provides a viable technique for improving overall system reliability in critical applications. [Ref. 4]

B. TRIPLE MODULAR REDUNDANT MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN

Having reviewed the concepts of TMR, what follows is a description of how they might be employed with three microprocessors. Also, having chosen to build the Testbed using the IDT R3081 RISC Microprocessor discussed in Chapters I and II, it is useful to examine what is necessary in constructing a board with three R3081's operating in a TMR design.

Figure 9 shows a block diagram of a simple system using a single R3081 processor. The multiplexed address/data bus of the R3081 is demultiplexed through the use of address latches and data buffers/transceivers. The address bus and the control bus are then used by the memory controller to

access the memory blocks. A typical design similar to Figure 9 is described in detail in Ref. [9].

Expounding on this simple system, Figure 10 shows a block diagram of a TMR system using three R3081 processors. Figure 10 shows the additional hardware blocks necessary to implement majority voting of the address, data, and control




Figure 9. Simple R3081 Board Design. After Ref. [9].




Figure 10. TMR R3081 Board Design.

busses and how the voted busses are then used in the remainder of the system.

A significant issue when using three microprocessors in a TMR design is the synchronization of the processors, briefly described in the preceding section, Voting Issues (Section A, Subsection 2, of this chapter). The IDT R3081 contains an output from the processor which is the System Reference Clock, SysClk*. This clock is used to control state transitions in the read buffer, write buffer, memory controller, and bus interface unit internal to the processor. As such it is used as timing reference by the external memory system. The frequency of this clock can be

either the same as the CPU cycle rate, or one-half that frequency. The frequency of this clock is selectable during the processor reset initialization. [Ref. 5]

The R3081 does not have a guaranteed relationship between the input clock and the SysClk* System Reference However, it is possible to ensure the phase of this output reference clock allowing the multiple processors to be in the same phase. The IDT R3081 contains internal logic as part of its reset state machine, which forces the System Reference Clock, SysClk*, into a known state. [Ref. 5] in a system using multiple R3081 processors with their System Reference Clocks operating at the same frequency as the CPU cycle rate, the negation of the Reset* input to the processors is sufficient to ensure that the System Reference Clocks from each processor are operating in the same phase. This assumes that the three processors are driven by the same input clock. [Ref. 5] If the Output Reference Clocks are operating at one-half of the frequency of the CPU cycle rate, additional steps are necessary to ensure synchronization between the System Reference Clocks from multiple CPUs.

In order to take full advantage of the TMR design to allow error analysis, FIFOs dedicated to each processor were incorporated as shown in Figure 11. The FIFOs allow the

capturing of the address, control, and data bus information from each processor before it is passed to the majority voters as shown in Figure 10.

Detailed descriptions of the blocks shown in Figures 10 and 11 and how they are implemented in the Testbed design are discussed in the next chapter.




Figure 11. Testbed FIFO Interface.

IV. TMR TESTBED DESIGN

A. OVERVIEW

In order to observe the performance and behavior of a microprocessor in the presence of radiation induced single event upsets (SEUs), the address, data, and control busses must be monitored. This is because in a general purpose microprocessor there is not an efficient built-in mechanism to indicate to external devices and/or observers that an SEU induced error has occurred. This is particularly true in the case where one or more bits in a word of data are flipped. SEU induced errors may cause the processor to "lock up" or "crash," which is detectable, but is of little use when trying to trouble-shoot and/or monitor the performance of the system.

Monitoring of the address and data busses presents another problem. Without a separate entity which is deemed, or assumed, to be error free there is not a way to tell if the information that appears on the busses is error free or not. In addition, in the presence of radiation induced SEUs, the ability to correct such faults once detected is a desirable characteristic.

In this testbed design, triple modular redundancy (TMR) was chosen to allow the monitoring of three identical

microprocessors running identical programs. The majority voting used in conjunction with TMR allows detection of an SEU which has been manifested as a disagreement between the address, data, and control busses of the three processors. The majority voter also allows the masking of these SEU induced disagreements. The address, data, and control bus information from the two microprocessors which are in agreement is used to start, control, and complete each bus cycle.

This assumes that identical faults, or errors, will not occur in two different microprocessors and produce the same erroneous results on their associated busses. If this occurred, then the majority would be in an error state. The same argument applies for identical faults in all three processors. The following sections describe the Testbed TMR functionality and the use of dedicated FIFOs for error analysis.

1. Testbed Operation Summary

The testbed contains three IDT R3081 RISC microprocessors executing the same program and interrupt service routines. Each processor has a dedicated FIFO memory to capture the address, control, and data bus information during each bus cycle. The address, data, and control busses from the three processors are then combined

into single address, control, and data busses via majority voters. These voted busses are then used by a single memory/error cycle controller to access the same ROM and RAM.

a. Normal (Error Free) Operation

At the beginning of a bus cycle (Read, Burst Read, or Write), the address is latched from each processor's A/D bus. Voting commences on the address busses while they are simultaneously written to each FIFO.

Control lines are next sampled from each processor.

Voting commences on the control busses while they are simultaneously written to each FIFO.

Data on the A/D bus from each processor is voted (during a Write cycle only). Data on the A/D busses from each processor during both Read and Write bus cycles, including Burst Read, are written to each FIFO.

If no error is detected (address, control, or data), then the current bus cycle finishes normally.

b. Error Detection

Errors are detected by majority voting of the address, control, and data busses from each processor. If an error is detected, the current bus cycle is allowed to complete before generating an interrupt. The error is

masked during Read and Write operations through the majority voter. However, the address, control, and data bus information associated with each processor before voting occurs will have been placed in each FIFO for analysis.

Upon completion of the current cycle, an interrupt is generated and synchronously supplied to each processor.

c. Error Correction

Upon receipt of an interrupt, each processor executes the same interrupt service routine. The beginning of this routine is signaled by initiating a write to "dummy" address 1F80xxxxH. The dummy address is recognized by the address decoder and a dedicated chip select is asserted. This chip select is in turn recognized by the memory/error cycle controller. The memory/error cycle controller clears the current interrupt and disables subsequent vote error interrupts while the interrupt routine executes.

The internal general purpose registers, configuration registers, and instruction and data caches are written to a reserved location in RAM. While this occurs, all internal information associated with each processor is written to a dedicated FIFO. The majority voter masks the error in the faulty processor and the "corrected" information, based on the majority of the two agreeing processors, is written to RAM. All internal registers and caches in each processor

are then filled by reading the reserved locations in RAM. The "faulty" processor will now have been "corrected" and re-synchronized with the other two processors.

The processors signal the end of the interrupt service routine by initiating another write to "dummy" address $1F80xxxx_H$. The memory/error cycle controller will then reenable vote error interrupts, and the next bus cycle begins.

d. Error Monitoring

The operation of the Testbed is monitored via an outside interface system. This outside system reads the contents of the FIFOs associated with each processor.

Address, control, and data bus information from each processor are placed in FIFOs during non-error bus cycles.

Upon detection of an error and interrupt handler execution, all internal registers and caches for each processor are written to the dedicated FIFOs.

The FIFOs now contain the information necessary to detect which processor was in error and what the processors were doing at the time the error occurred.

2. IDT R3081 Simulation

We do not have a model of the complete R3081 RISC Microprocessor for simulation of the Testbed design.

Therefore, in order to develop the concept of this design we

modeled the behavior of the IDT R3081 multiplexed address/data bus and associated control lines using the Verilog Hardware Description Language [Ref. 10]. The remaining sections of this chapter describe in detail each of the blocks in the Testbed design.

In the descriptions of the blocks and in the associated figures, the following convention has been used. Signal and bus names which are bold and italicized, FORCE_A for example, are intended to match the same signal and bus names in the overall schematic in Appendix A for ease in cross referencing. In addition, signal and bus names which begin with an underscore, _ALE for example, represent signals which come from each of the three processors. Thus _ALE represents A_ALE, B_ALE, and C_ALE, for example.

B. IDT R3081 BUS INTERFACE

In this section, we will demonstrate that the bus interface simulation matches the manufacturers design specifications for the R3081.

The datasheet for the IDT R3081 RISC Microprocessor [Ref. 11] was used in conjunction with the R3081 Hardware Users Manual [Ref. 5]. The single datum (word or byte) Read, Burst Read, and Write bus cycle timing diagrams and timing parameters were analyzed and used to simulate the

R3081 bus interface. Figures 12, 13, and 14 are the bus cycles obtained from these references.




Figure 12. IDT R0381 Burst Read Cycle. From Ref. [9].




Figure 13. IDT R3081 Write Cycle. From Ref. [9].




Figure 14. IDT R3081 Single Datum Read. From Ref. [9].

The Diag(1) and Diag(0) signals shown in Figures 12, 13, and 14 were not modeled. These two pins are useful in the initial debug of R30xx family based systems. [Ref. 5] Although they are not control lines, in an actual implementation of the Testbed, these lines could easily be added as part of the control bus from each microprocessor and passed to the control majority voter. They are not needed to control the bus/memory interface. However, they could be used as additional status lines to detect differences among the three processors.

Figure 15 shows the R3081 bus interface simulator built in Cadence Concept™ Schematics and the Verilog Hardware Description Language. The associated Verilog code is contained in Appendix C, Section A. The three pins on the




Figure 15. IDT R3081 Bus Interface Simulator.

simulator labeled TRANS<2..0>, ADDR<31..0>, and DATA<31..0>
are not pins on an actual R3081 device. These pins are
used during simulations to force the simulator to execute a
specified bus cycle. TRANS<2..0> is used to specify either
Byte Read, Word Read, Burst Read, Byte Write, or Word Write
bus cycles. ADDR<31..0> is used to specify the address of
the current bus cycle. If the current bus cycle specified
is a Burst Read, then ADDR<31..0> specifies the initial word
address. DATA<31..0> is used to specify the data to be used
during Write bus cycles. By using three separate simulators
and specifying each of the above three signals separately to
each simulator, faults can be injected into the system.

Figures 16, 17, and 18 show the simulated address/data bus and control line behavior. Extra wait states; i.e., additional system reference clock cycles, have been added to each bus cycle. The extra wait states allow FIFO memories dedicated to each microprocessor to grab the address, control, and data bus information. In addition, in these three figures the address/data bus and control lines from each of the three microprocessors are displayed to show they are synchronized with one another.

In Figure 16, the Burst Read cycle is initiated at the falling edge of the _RD* and _BURST* lines from each microprocessor. In this particular example, the address

 $1FC00000_H$ is placed on the multiplexed address/data bus, _AD<31..0>, by each processor. After this address is latched using the _ALE signals from each processor, the first word of data appears on the _AD<31..0> bus after a short delay from the memory. The four contiguous words of memory read during this bus cycle are obtained by providing the initial address, $1FC00000_H$ in this case, and strobing the _ADDR3 and _ADDR2 lines so that they count in binary 00, 01, 10, and 11. In addition, the memory controller strobes the RDCEN* line, which is supplied to all three microprocessors, four times indicating when the expected word from memory has been placed on the bus. The burst read cycle is completed at the rising edge of the _RD* and _BURST* signals. In the example in Figure 16 the four addresses read are $1FC00000_H$, $1FC00004_H$, $1FC00008_H$, and $1FC0000C_H$. In this design, the addresses $1FC00000_H$ through $1FC0xxxx_H$ are decoded to be read only memory (ROM). The four words read contained the data 00000000_H , 00000001_H , 00000002_{H} , and 00000003_{H} , respectively. This correctly corresponds to the data which has been programmed into the EPROM. See Appendix C, Section I.

In Figure 17, the Write cycle is initiated at the falling edge of the _WR* lines from each microprocessor.




Figure 16. Simulated R3081 Burst Read Cycle.

In this particular example, the address 00000000H is placed on the multiplexed address/data bus, _AD<31..0>, by each processor. After this address is latched using the _ALE signals from each processor, the data to be written appears on the bus. In this example, the data to be written is 11111111H. The ACK* signal, which is returned from the memory controller, indicates the write has been completed. The write cycle is completed at the rising edge of the _WR* signal. In the TMR Testbed design, addresses 00000000H through 0007FFFFH correspond to random access memory (RAM). Therefore, in this example, 11111111H has been written to RAM at address 00000000H.

In Figure 18, the single datum Word Read cycle is initiated at the falling edge of the _RD* lines from each microprocessor. In this particular example, the address 000000000, is placed on the multiplexed address/data bus, _AD<31..0>, by each processor. After this address is latched using the _ALE signals from each processor, the data appears on the bus after some delay. The RDCEN* line from the memory controller indicates that the address/data bus contains valid data. The read cycle is completed at the rising edge of the _RD* lines. In this example, 111111111, has been read from RAM at address 000000000. This correctly corresponds with the 111111111, written to address 000000000.




Figure 17. Simulated R3081 Write Cycle.




Figure 18. Simulated R3081 Read Cycle.

in the previous example Write cycle description and in Figure 17.

C. ADDRESS/DATA BUS DEMULTIPLEXING

The multiplexed 32-bit address/data bus of each of the three microprocessors is demultiplexed using the address latch enable, _ALE, signal [Ref. 5] from each processor.

The schematic diagram of the demultiplexer is contained in Appendix B, Section A. Figure 19 is a block diagram of the demultiplexer.




Figure 19. Address/Data Bus Demultiplexing.

Each 32-bit demultiplexer makes use of four 8-bit FCT373 transparent latches. [Ref. 9] During each bus cycle (Read, Burst Read, or Write) the address is placed on the _AD<31..0> bus of each processor at the beginning of the cycle. While the _ALE signals are HIGH, the transparent

latches allow the address information to pass to the 32-bit address voter. This allows the address information to be voted and passed to the memory/address decoder as soon as it becomes available. When the _ALE signals transition from HIGH to LOW, the address information is latched to the associated 32-bit address bus. Subsequent changes on the _AD<31..0> busses do not affect the state of the address busses until the next _ALE transition from LOW to HIGH, which occurs during the next bus cycle. The TESTEN1* line, which is supplied to each demultiplexer, can be used to place the address bus, or output of each demultiplexer, in a high impedance state for testing. During normal operations, the TESTEN1* line should be held LOW. The schematic diagram of the three microprocessors, the demultiplexers, and the associated connections is contained in Appendix A.

D. DATA BUS VOTING

The _AD<31..0> bus from each microprocessor is considered to be the data bus after the transition of the ALE signal from HIGH to LOW during each bus cycle. The 32-bit data busses from each processor are passed to a 32-bit majority voter/transceiver. Figure 20 is a block diagram of the data bus voter/transceiver.

During a Write cycle, the three 32-bit data busses are voted to produce a single 32-bit data bus. However, during a Read, or Burst Read, bus cycle the data read from memory




Figure 20. Data Bus Voting.

must be allowed to pass back to the three _AD<31..0> busses and on to the three microprocessors. This is accomplished via the RDDATAEN* and WRDATAEN* control lines from the memory enable controller. While the WRDATAEN* signal is LOW, the three data busses are voted and passed to the single data bus. While the RDDATAEN* line is LOW, the data on the single bus which has been read from memory is allowed to pass back through to the three microprocessors. Voting of the data busses occurs only during a Write cycle and when WRDATAEN* is LOW. The WRDATEN* and RDDATAEN* signals are mutually exclusive (when one is HIGH, the other is LOW). If

an error is detected on one of the data busses supplied to the voter, the signal **DATAERR** goes HIGH.

In addition, the majority voter/transceiver uses three input lines (FORCE_A, FORCE_B, and FORCE_C) which, when pulled HIGH, force the data from the respective bus through to the output data bus. When one of these signals is pulled HIGH, voting errors are not detected or signaled. These signals should all be held LOW during normal operations.

The schematic for the 32-bit majority voter/transceiver and associated Verilog code are contained in Appendix C, Section B.

E. ADDRESS BUS VOTING

The output of the three demultiplexers is considered to be the address bus associated with each processor. Once a bus cycle has initiated and the _ALE has transitioned from HIGH to LOW, the address bus holds the address information until the LOW to HIGH transition of _ALE during the next bus cycle. The address bus from each demultiplexer is passed to a 32-bit majority voter. This majority voter operates similarly to that of the majority voter/transceiver described in the previous section except there is no associated transceiver operation or control lines. Figure 21 is a block diagram of the address voter. If an error is

detected on one of the address busses supplied to the voter, the signal **ADDRERR** goes HIGH.




Figure 21. Address Bus Voting.

The schematic for the 32-bit majority voter and associated Verilog code are contained in Appendix C, Section D.

F. CONTROL BUS VOTING

Six control lines from each of the three processors are voted using an 8-bit majority voter. The six control lines voted are _ADDR2, _ADDR3, _RD*, _WR*, _BURST*, and _DATAEN*. The other two inputs to the 8-bit voter are not used and are held LOW. These control lines are voted to produce a single control bus. Figure 22 is a block diagram of the control bus voter. This majority voter operates similarly to that of the majority voter/transceiver described in Section D

except there is no associated transceiver operation or control lines. If an error is detected on one of the control lines supplied to the voter, the signal **CONTERR** goes HIGH.




Figure 22. Control Bus Voting.

The schematic for the 8-bit majority voter and associated Verilog code are contained in Appendix C, Section C.

G. ADDRESS DECODER

The address decoder uses the voted address bus,

VOTEADDR<31..17>, to generate chip selects. The address

decoder does not wait for _ALE to begin generating the chip

selects. This is done to achieve better performance since

the chip select outputs will be generated earlier in the bus

cycle. As a side effect, however, the chip select outputs may tend to "glitch" as a valid address is driven. Thus, the Read Enables and Write Enables seen in the memory system must be synchronized so they are valid only when the CPUs are attempting a read or write transfer. This combination allows maximum performance because address and chip selects are seen early in the bus cycle but the Read and Write signals are synchronized to ensure proper system operation. [Ref. 9] Figure 23 is a block diagram of the address decoder.





Figure 23. Address Decoder.

The schematic for the memory/address decoder and associated Verilog code are contained in Appendix C, Section E.

H. MEMORY/ERROR CYCLE CONTROLLER

The memory cycle controller provides a wait-state generator which stalls the bus interfaces of the three processors so that various types and speeds of memories can be used. [Ref. 9] This also allows the additional wait-states required for the FIFO interface described later. Figure 24 is a block diagram of the memory/error cycle controller. The memory/error cycle controller is composed



of three subsections. The basic RAM/ROM subsection generates the appropriate timing signals such as ACK*, RDCEN*, and BUSERROR* for operating the R3081 bus interface as well as the necessary write and read enables for accessing the RAM/ROM. The FIFO memory cycle controller generates the signals necessary for capturing the state of

each processor in its dedicated FIFO at the appropriate times during each cycle. The error cycle controller monitors the vote error signals from the address, data, and control bus majority voters. If an error is detected, it generates an interrupt to the processors. It also disables the vote error interrupts while the interrupt handler routine is executed by the processors. The schematics for the memory/error cycle and memory enable controllers and associated Verilog code are contained in Appendix C, Sections F and G.

1. RAM/ROM Cycle Controller

The basic state machine looks for the start of a read or write bus cycle by looking for a negative edge of **VOTRD*** or **VOTWR*** from the control bus majority voter. When a bus cycle is initiated, the state machine starts a 5-bit up counter, counter<4..0>. The counter then increments on each **SYSCLK*** rising edge. This counter is then used as the timing master for all other control signals generated by the state machine. [Ref. 9]

A synchronous decoder, CYCEND*, is used to tell the counter when the end of a memory cycle occurs. CYCEND* is used to synchronously reset the state machine when a positive edge of VOTRD* or VOTWR* is expected. Another

output, **ENSTART***, is used to start the byte enables generated by the memory enable controller. [Ref. 9]

Other outputs from the memory cycle controller include cycle termination inputs RDCEN*, ACK*, and BUSERROR*. On a read transfer, VOTBURST* from the control bus voter and the current active chip select from the address decoder are used to determine the timing and quantity of RDCEN* signals to be asserted. ACK* is asserted at the end of a write cycle to indicate completion of the transfer. BUSERROR* is used to end an undecoded memory cycle. [Ref. 9]

2. FIFO Memory Cycle Controller

In order to provide the ability to observe the status of each processor before, during, and after an error cycle, the address, control, and data busses (before the majority voters) from each processor are written to a dedicated FIFO memory. The state machine in the memory cycle controller is used to generate the outputs ADDRTOFIFO*, CONTTOFIFO*,

DATATOFIFO*, and FIFOWE*. Figure 25 shows a block diagram of the FIFO dedicated to processor A. A similar arrangement is used for the FIFOs dedicated to processors B and C. The use of the memory cycle state machine ensures the timing of these signals are synchronized with the current bus cycle and that during a Burst Read bus operation, the address,

control, and data busses are written to the FIFOs four times.




Figure 25. FIFO Controls.

The ADDRTOFIFO*, CONTTOFIFO*, and DATATOFIFO* outputs synchronously select when to provide the address bus, control bus, and data bus respectively to the FIFO associated with each processor. Since the address is the first bus to stabilize, ADDRTOFIFO* is asserted first. This is followed by CONTTOFIFO* and then DATATOFIFO*. FIFOWE* is the actual write enable supplied to the three FIFOs.

When ADDRTOFIFO* is asserted, the address bus from each processor is supplied to its associated FIFO and written at the rising edge of FIFOWE*. This is followed by CONTTOFIFO* and DATATOFIFO*, in turn.

Figures 26, 27, and 28 show the operation of these FIFO controls during a Burst Read, Write, and single word Read respectively.

3. Error Cycle Controller

The memory cycle controller state machine also controls the generation of an interrupt which is supplied to each processor at the detection of a vote error (ADDRERR, CONTERR, or DATAERR).

The vote error interrupt, **VOTERRINT***, is generated only at the end of the current bus cycle. This allows the current bus cycle to complete, with the majority voters masking the associated fault. In addition, allowing the bus cycle to complete ensures the FIFOs associated with each processor capture the state of the address, control, and data bus of each processor prior to generating an interrupt.

It is intended that the three processors will synchronously receive the interrupt, and will execute the same interrupt service routine. The beginning and end of this service routine is indicated by a write to "dummy" address 1F80xxxxH. This address is decoded by the memory decoder to generate the chip select INTCS*. The error cycle controller, upon detection of a write cycle with this chip




Figure 26. FIFO Controls During Burst Read Cycle.




Figure 27. FIFO Controls During Write Cycle.




Figure 28. FIFO Controls During Read Cycle.

select asserted, clears the interrupt and disables further vote error interrupts. The interrupt is disabled until the end of the interrupt routine. This is again signaled by the next write to "dummy" address $1F80xxxx_H$.

During the interrupt routine, it is intended that the processors will write all of their internal general purpose registers, configuration registers, and instruction and data caches to some selected portion of RAM. The vote error interrupt will have been disabled. However, errors in the "faulty" processor will be masked by the majority voted output from the other two "agreeing" processors during each Then, the interrupt routine would read back the selected portion of RAM and refill all of its internal general purpose registers, configuration registers, and instruction and data caches. Thus, the processor which had an error will have been corrected and re-synchronized with the other two processors. While this routine is executing, the FIFOs associated with each processor will capture all of the internal information of each processor for error analysis.

The IDT R3081 Microprocessor Bus Interface Simulator module contained in Appendix A, Section A, contains a simulated, abbreviated interrupt service routine which executes when the interrupt INT5* is asserted. Simulations

which show the operation of the error cycle and this simulated interrupt service routine are contained in Chapter V.

I. SYSTEM INTERFACE

The system interface is intended to be a laptop or similar system which can read the FIFOs associated with each microprocessor and perform some analysis. This provides for both real-time and post error analysis. The FIFOs selected allow for asynchronous writing and reading with separate write and read clocks which can be different frequencies. Figure 29 is a block diagram of the system interface.




Figure 29. System Interface.

The testbed interface monitors the FIFO empty lines from processor A's FIFO, **EF_A1*** and **EF_A2***. As soon as they are both deasserted, the interface reads the FIFO. This is

followed by monitoring the FIFO empty lines from processor B's FIFO, EF_B1* and EF_B2*, and reading processor B's FIFO once they are both deasserted. Finally, the FIFO empty lines from processor C's FIFO, EF_C1* and EF_C2*, are monitored and the FIFO is read once they are both deasserted. This process continues and the address, control, and data information stored in the associated FIFOs are obtained by the interface. The read clock is set to be twice the frequency of the write clock. This enables the interface to read the data out of the FIFOs fast enough so they never fill up. Figure 30 shows the timing of the control signals generated by the system interface.

The interface module writes the results obtained from the FIFOs to a text file, TMR_trace.out. By reviewing this text file, the status of the processors during each bus cycle can be observed. Examples of this text file obtained during both normal (error free) and induced error operations are contained in Chapter V.

The schematics for the system interface and associated Verilog code are contained in Appendix C, Section J.




Figure 30. System Interface Controls.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

The complete design has been implemented in Cadence Concept™ schematics and the Verilog® Hardware Description Language. Timing parameters have been obtained from actual device datasheets. The IDT R3081 bus/memory interface in this TMR design can be simulated in Cadence Logic Workbench™ to verify the concept of operation and test the voting logic, memory and error cycle controllers, as well as the FIFO interface.

The following simulation results were obtained from the trace file generated by the simulated system interface. The information displayed represents what was actually read from each FIFO.

The overall testbed schematics are contained in Appendix A. The Cadence supplied modules and user defined modules used in the schematics and the simulations are contained in Appendices B and C, respectively. The script control language (SCL) files which were used to drive the inputs to the Testbed schematics to obtain the following simulation results are contained in Appendix D.

A. NORMAL (ERROR FREE) RESULTS

Bus cycles 1 through 4 correspond to a Burst Read from EPROM addresses $1FC00000_H$ through $1FC00000_H$. The data read corresponds to the data programmed into the Verilog EPROM module in Appendix C, Section I.

		CPU A	CPU B	CPU C
1.	Data = A Control B Control	1fc00000 00000008 000000000 = Burst Read = Burst Read = Burst Read	Word 0	1fc00000 00000008 00000000
2.	Data = A Control B Control	1fc00000 00000009 00000001 = Burst Read = Burst Read = Burst Read	Word 1 Word 1	1fc00000 00000009 00000001
3.	A Control B Control		Word 2	1fc00000 0000000a 00000002
4.	A Control B Control C Control		Word 3 Word 3	1fc00000 0000000b 00000003

Bus cycles 5 through 8 correspond to a Burst Read from EPROM addresses $1FC00010_H$ through $1FC0001C_H$. Again the data read corresponds to the data programmed into the Verilog EPROM module in Appendix C, Section I.

```
1fc00010
5.
                          00000008
                          00000004
   A Control = Burst Read Word 0
   B Control = Burst Read Word 0
   C Control = Burst Read Word 0
   6.
   Control = 00000009
                  00000009 00000009
                 00000005
        = 00000005
                          00000005
   A Control = Burst Read Word 1
   B Control = Burst Read Word 1
   C Control = Burst Read Word 1
   7.
   Control = 0000000a
                  0000000a 0000000a
                00000006
   Data = 00000006
                          00000006
   A Control = Burst Read Word 2
   B Control = Burst Read Word 2
   C Control = Burst Read Word 2
   Address = 1fc00010 1fc00010 1fc00010
8.
   Control = 0000000b
                  d000000b d000000b
   Data = 00000007 00000007
   A Control = Burst Read Word 3
   B Control = Burst Read Word 3
   C Control = Burst Read Word 3
```

Bus cycles 9 through 12 correspond to four Write bus cycles to RAM addresses 00000000_{H} , 00000004_{H} , 00000008_{H} , and 000000CH.

9.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00000034 11111111 = Write = Write	00000000 00000034 11111111	00000000 00000034 11111111
10.	• • • • • • • •	00000035 22222222 = Write = Write		00000000 00000035 22222222
. 11.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00000036 33333333 = Write = Write	00000000 00000036 333333333	00000000 00000036 33333333

```
12. Address = 00000000 00000000 00000000 Control = 00000037 00000037 00000037 Data = 44444444 4444444 4444444 4444444 A Control = Write B Control = Write C Control = Write
```

Bus cycle 13 corresponds to a single word Read bus cycle from RAM address $00000000_{\rm H}$. The data read is the same that was written during cycle 9.

Bus cycles 14 through 17 correspond to a Burst Read from RAM addresses $00000000_{\rm H}$ through $0000000_{\rm H}$. The data read from RAM is the same that was written during cycles 9 through 12.

```
Address = 00000000
                     0000000
                               00000000
    Control = 00000008
                      80000008
                               80000000
    Data = 11111111
                    11111111
                               11111111
    A Control = Burst Read Word 0
    B Control = Burst Read Word 0
    C Control = Burst Read Word 0
    15.
    Address = 00000000
                     00000000 00000000
    Control = 00000009
                      00000009
                               00000009
                     2222222
         = 22222222
    Data
    A Control = Burst Read Word 1
    B Control = Burst Read Word 1
    C Control = Burst Read Word 1
    Address = 00000000 00000000
16.
                               00000000
    Control = 0000000a
                      0000000a
                               0000000a
                    33333333
        = 33333333
    Data
                               33333333
    A Control = Burst Read Word 2
    B Control = Burst Read Word 2
    C Control = Burst Read Word 2
```

17. Address = 00000000 00000000 000000000 Control = 0000000b 0000000b 0000000b Data = 44444444 44444444 44444444 44444444 A Control = Burst Read Word 3 B Control = Burst Read Word 3 C Control = Burst Read Word 3

B. INJECTED ERROR RESULTS

Bus cycles 1 through 4 correspond to a Burst Read from EPROM addresses $1FC00000_H$ through $1FC0000C_H$. The data read corresponds to the data programmed into the Verilog® EPROM module in Appendix C, Section I.

		CPU A	CPU B	CPU C
1.	A Control B Control		Word 0	1fc00000 00000008 00000000
2.	Data = A Control B Control	1fc00000 00000009 00000001 = Burst Read = Burst Read = Burst Read	00000001 Word 1 Word 1 Word 1	1fc00000 00000009 00000001
3.	A Control B Control	1fc00000	1fc00000 0000000a 00000002 Word 2 Word 2	1fc00000 0000000a 00000002
4.	Data = A Control B Control C Control	1fc00000 0000000b 00000003 = Burst Read = Burst Read = Burst Read	Word 3 Word 3	1fc00000 0000000b 00000003

Cycle 5 is a Write bus cycle to RAM address $00000000_{\rm H}$ where there is an error in the address of processor A.

Cycles 6 through 11 are the six cycles of the simulated interrupt service routine. The differences between the "internal" information of the three processors that caused the error can be observed. These differences do not themselves cause additional vote error interrupts because the interrupt routines are initiated by a write to "dummy" address 1F80xxxx_H. However, when the "internal" information is read back from RAM, the "corrected" information is read.

6.	Address = : Control = : Data = : A Control : B Control : C Control :	0000034 ffffffff = Write = Write	1f800000 0000034 ffffffff	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff
7.	A Control : B Control : C Control :	00000034 00000100 = Write = Write	00070000 00000034 00000000	00070000 00000034 00000000
0.	Address = (Control = (00070000 00000035 11111111 = Write = Write	00070000 00000035 11111111	00070000
9.	A Control = B Control = C Control =	00000018 00000000 = Read = Read	0000000	00070000 00000018 00000000

10.	Address = 00070 Control = 00000 Data = 11111 A Control = Rea B Control = Rea C Control = Rea	019 111 .d .d	00070000 00000019 11111111	00070000 00000019 11111111
11.	Address = 1f800 Control = 00000 Data = fffff A Control = Wri B Control = Wri C Control = Wri	034 fff te te	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff

Cycle 12 is a Write bus cycle to RAM address $00000004_{\rm H}$ where there is an error in the address of processor B. Cycles 13 through 18 are the simulated interrupt service routine initiated by the three processors.

12.	A Control B Control C Control	00000035 22222222 = Write = Write	01000000 00000035 22222222	00000000 00000035 22222222
13.	Address = Control =	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff = Write = Write	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff
14.	A Control B Control C Control	00000034 00000004 = Write = Write	00070000 00000034 01000004	00070000 00000034 00000005
15.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00070000 00000035 22222222 = Write = Write	00070000 0000035 22222222	00070000 00000035 22222222
16.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00070000 00000018 00000004 = Read = Read	00070000 00000018 00000004	00070000 00000018 00000004

17.	Address =	00070000	00070000	00070000
	Control =	00000019	00000019	00000019
	Data =	2222222	2222222	2222222
	A Control	= Read		
	B Control	= Read		
	C Control	= Read		
	========	==========	==========	
18.	Address =	1f800000	1f800000	1f800000
	Control =	00000034	00000034	00000034
	Data =	ffffffff	ffffffff	ffffffff
	A Control	= Write		
	B Control	= Write		
	C Control	= Write		
	========		==========	

Cycle 19 is a Write bus cycle to RAM address $00000008_{\rm H}$ where there is an error in the data of processor C. Cycles 20 through 25 are the simulated interrupt service routine initiated by the three processors.

19.	Address = 00000 Control = 00000 Data = 33333 A Control = Wr: B Control = Wr: C Control = Wr:	0036 3333 ite ite ite	00000000 00000036 33333333	00000000 00000036 33333337
20.	Address = 1f80 Control = 0000 Data = fffff A Control = Wr: B Control = Wr: C Control = Wr:	0000 0034 Efff ite ite ite	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff	1f800000 0000034 ffffffff
21.	Address = 0007 Control = 00000 Data = 00000 A Control = Wr: B Control = Wr: C Control = Wr:	0000 0034 0008 ite ite ite	00070000 00000034 00000008	00070000 00000034 00000008
22.	Address = 0007 Control = 00000 Data = 33333 A Control = Wr: B Control = Wr: C Control = Wr:	0000 0035 3333 ite ite ite	00070000 0000035 33333333	00070000 00000035 33333337
23.	Address = 00070 Control = 00000 Data = 00000 A Control = Rea B Control = Rea C Control = Rea	0000 0018 0008 ad ad	00070000 00000018 00000008	00070000 00000018 00000008

```
33333333
   Data
      = 33333333
                  3333333
   A Control = Read
   B Control = Read
   C Control = Read
   25. Address = 1f800000 1f800000 1f800000
   Control = 00000034
                 00000034 00000034
   Data = ffffffff
                 ffffffff ffffffff
   A Control = Write
   B Control = Write
   C Control = Write
   ______
```

Cycle 26 is a Write bus cycle to RAM address $0000000A_{H}$ where there are multiple errors in the data of all three processors. Cycles 27 through 32 are the interrupt service routine.

26.	Address = 000 Control = 000 Data = f44 A Control = W B Control = W C Control = W	00037 44444 rite rite	00000000 00000037 44a44444	00000000 00000037 44444447
27.	Address = 1f8 Control = 000 Data = fff A Control = W B Control = W C Control = W	00034 fffff rite rite rite	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff
28.	Address = 000 Control = 000 Data = 000 A Control = W B Control = W C Control = W	70000 00034 0000c rite rite	00070000 00000034 0000000c	00070000 00000034 0000000c
29.	•••••	00035 44444 rite rite	00070000 00000035 44a44444	00070000 00000035 44444447
30.	Address = 000 Control = 000 Data = 000 A Control = R B Control = R C Control = R	00018 0000c ead ead ead	00070000 00000018 0000000c	00070000 00000018 0000000c

```
00070000
0000019
31.
    Address = 00070000
                              00070000
    Control = 00000019
                              00000019
    Data
         = 4444444
                      4444444
                              4444444
    A Control = Read
    B Control = Read
    C Control = Read
    Address = 1f800000 1f800000 1f800000
    Control = 00000034
                     00000034
                              00000034
         = ffffffff
                     ffffffff
                              ffffffff
    A Control = Write
    B Control = Write
    C Control = Write
```

Cycles 33 through 36 are a Burst Read from RAM addresses 00000000_H , 00000004_H , 00000008_H , and 00000000_H . The data read from RAM is the data which was "corrected" by the majority voter when written during cycles 5, 12, 19, and 26. This example shows the successful completion of the four Write cycles (5, 12, 19, and 26) which contained errors.

```
33.
    Address = 00000000
                        00000000
                                  00000000
     Control = 00000008
                        80000008
                                  00000008
     Data
          = 11111111
                        11111111
                                  11111111
     A Control = Burst Read Word 0
    B Control = Burst Read Word 0
     C Control = Burst Read Word 0
     34.
    Address = 00000000
                      00000000 00000000
     Control = 00000009
                        00000009
                                  00000009
    Data
         = 22222222
                        2222222
    A Control = Burst Read Word 1
    B Control = Burst Read Word 1
    C Control = Burst Read Word 1
     35.
    Address = 00000000
                        00000000
                                  00000000
    Control = 0000000a
                        0000000a
    Data
          = 33333333
                        33333333
                                  33333333
    A Control = Burst Read Word 2
    B Control = Burst Read Word 2
    C Control = Burst Read Word 2
    *********************************
36.
    Address = 00000000
                       00000000 00000000
    Control = 0000000b
                        0000000Ъ
                                  d000000b
          = 44444444
    Data
                        4444444
                                  4444444
    A Control = Burst Read Word 3
    B Control = Burst Read Word 3
    C Control = Burst Read Word 3
```

Cycle 37 is a Write cycle to RAM address $00004000_{\rm H}$ where processor B has incorrectly initiated a burst read from $00004000_{\rm H}$. Cycles 38 through 43 are the interrupt routine.

37.	A Control B Control C Control	00000034 78787878 = Write = Burst Read W		00004000 00000034 78787878
38.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00000034 ffffffff = Write = Write	1f800000 0000034 fffffff	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff
39.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00070000 00000034 00004000 = Write = Write = Write	00070000 0000034 00004000	00070000 00000034 00004000
40.	Address = Control = Data = A Control B Control C Control	00000035 78787878 = Write = Write = Write	00070000 00000035 78787878	00070000 00000035 78787878
41.	Address = Control = Data = A Control = B Control = C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	00000018 00004000 = Read = Read = Read	00070000 00000018 00004000	00070000 00000018 00004000
42.	Address = Control = Data = A Control = B Control = C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	00000019 78787878 = Read = Read = Read	00070000 00000019 78787878	00070000 00000019 78787878
43.	Address = Control = Data = A Control = Control	00000034 ffffffff = Write = Write	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff	1f800000 00000034 ffffffff

Cycle 44 is a single word Read from RAM address 00004000_{H} . The data read is the correct data written during cycle 37.

44. Address = 00004000 00004000 00004000
Control = 00000018 00000018 00000018
Data = 78787878 78787878 78787878
A Control = Read
B Control = Read
C Control = Read

VI. CONCLUSION

With the rapidly declining radiation hardened device market and high prices of such devices when compared to COTS alternatives, a tool is desired that will allow the observance and analysis of COTS processors operating in a radiation environment. Additional reasons to move towards COTS devices are significant advantages in efficiency, performance, and software availability.

One of the primary disadvantages of COTS devices is their susceptibility to single event upsets. Triple Modular Redundancy (TMR) is viewed as one of many possible alternatives to provide some protection from SEUs in COTS devices.

The danger of incorporating redundancy into a system is that the overall system reliability could be reduced, due to the increased number of components. If the redundant systems are not themselves reliable, there is little hope of improving the reliability of the system.

The TMR Testbed design is not intended as a design for space flight operations. Nor is it intended as a guaranteed method of improving the performance of the R3081 processors in the presence of radiation induced single event upsets.

The design herein is intended for ground based operational

testing of the voting logic and any software algorithms run within the processors themselves. It is assumed that the board can be constructed in such a way that all of the hardware, other than the microprocessors, can be adequately shielded during laboratory radiation testing. In addition, it is realized that a fault which occurs in two of the processors at the same time, and which is manifested as the same bit being flipped on the address, control, or data bus, cannot be detected. In the event this error occurs, the two processors which are actually "faulty" will agree and become the majority when passed to the majority voters.

In the Testbed design, TMR provides the opportunity to monitor the three processors and in the event of an error, determine which processor was in error and what the processor was doing at the time the error occurred.

The Cadence/Verilog® design will allow simulation of the concept, verification of timing signals, and flexibility in reconfiguration of the design. Through simulation, the use of the bus/memory interface from three COTS microprocessors in a TMR design to monitor the system for errors has been realized. The actual board design could be constructed and used to test voting logic hardware and software algorithms in a laboratory environment in the presence of radiation induced SEUs or injected faults.

The use of the dedicated FIFO memories allows both real time and post-error analysis of the state of the three microprocessors. Thus, the tool will provide the capability to analyze the success or failure of attempts to improve the performance of COTS microprocessors in this environment, prior to their use in designs intended for actual space applications.

APPENDIX A. TMR TESTBED DESIGN SCHEMATICS

This appendix contains the entire schematic for the TMR $\begin{tabular}{ll} Testbed built using Cadence ConceptTM schematic tools and \\ the Verilog$^{@}$ Hardware Description Language. \end{tabular}$

Enlarged views of each block in the following schematics and associated $Verilog^{\otimes}$ code, when applicable, are contained Appendices B and C.




Figure 31. TMR Testbed Schematic (1 of 11).




Figure 32. TMR Testbed Schematic (2 of 11).




Figure 33. TMR Testbed Schematic (3 of 11).




Figure 34. TMR Testbed Schematic (4 of 11).




Figure 35. TMR Testbed Schematic (5 of 11).




Figure 36. TMR Testbed Schematic (6 of 11).




Figure 37. TMR Testbed Schematic (7 of 11).




Figure 38. TMR Testbed Schematic (8 of 11).




Figure 39. TMR Testbed Schematic (9 of 11).




Figure 40. TMR Testbed Schematic (10 of 11).




Figure 41. TMR Testbed Schematic (11 of 11).

APPENDIX B. CADENCE SUPPLIED MODULES

This appendix contains the TMR Testbed schematic modules, which were supplied in the Cadence Concept $^{\text{TM}}$ schematic libraries.

A. A74FCT373 TRANSPARENT LATCH

This part was used to build the address demultiplexer.

The body diagram of the address demultiplexer and its schematic follow.




Figure 42. A74FCT373 Transparent Latch.




Figure 43. Address Demultiplexer.




Figure 44. Address Demultiplexer Schematic.

B. IDT71256 32K X 8 SRAM




Figure 45. IDT71256 SRAM.

C. IDT72225LA 1K X 18 FIFO




Figure 46. IDT72225LA FIFO.

APPENDIX C. USER DEFINED VERILOG® MODULES

This appendix contains the custom modules built using the Verilog $^{\circ}$ Hardware Description Language and the part body diagrams built using the Cadence Concept $^{\text{TM}}$ schematic tools.

A. IDT R3081 RISC MICROPROCESSOR BUS SIMULATOR




Figure 47. R3081 Microprocessor Bus Simulator.

```
//* File: r3081.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral file for simulating the
//*
      multiplexed address/data bus of a IDT RV3081.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
//*
              (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/24/98
               ************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
'define NONE
'define READ_BYTE
                 1
'define READ_WORD
```

```
'define READ_BURST 3
'define WRITE_BYTE 4
'define WRITE_WORD 5
'define HIGH
'define LOW
                   0
'define TRUE
                   1
'define FALSE
                   0
//* Module: r3081
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for simulating the
//*
       multiplexed address/data bus and control lines of the IDT R3081.
//*
       This module drives the R3081 block in the Cadence Concept
//*
       schematic.
//*
       NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
       must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
1/*
       lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
//*
       Cadence Concept block.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
1/*
                (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
module r3081 (SYSCLK_N, RD_N, WR_N, AD, ADDR3, ADDR2, ALE,
              DATAEN_N, BURST_N, RDCEN_N, ACK_N, RESET_N,
              INT5_N, CURR_TRANS, ADDRESS, DATA);
   //* RV3081 @ 20MHz rise/fall time parameters (min,typ,max)
  parameter
      t7_min = 0,
                      //* t7 = Valid from SYSCLK_N rising
      t7_{typ} = 2.5,
      t7_{max} = 5,
      t8_{min} = 0,
      t8\_typ = 2,
                      //* t8 = Asserted from SYSCLK_N rising
      t8_{max} = 4
      t9_{min} = 0,
      t9_{typ} = 2,
                      //* t9 = Negated from SYSCLK_N falling
      t9_{max} = 4,
      t11_{min} = 0,
     t11_{typ} = 7.5
                      //* t11 = Asserted from SYSCLK_N falling
      t11_{max} = 15
     t14_{min} = 0,
                      //* t14 = Driven from SYSCLK_N rising
     t14_{typ} = 0,
      t14_{max} = 0,
     t15_min = 0,
     t15_{typ} = 3.5,
                     //* t15 = Negated from SYSCLK_N falling
     t15_max = 7,
     t16_{min} = 0,
     t16_{typ} = 3,
                      //* t16 = Valid from SYSCLK N
     t16_{max} = 6
     t18_min = 0,
     t18_{typ} = 5,
                      //* t18 = Tri-State from SYSCLK_N falling
     t18_{max} = 10,
     t19_{min} = 0,
     t19_typ = 6.5, //* t19 = SYSCLK_N falling to data valid
     t19_{max} = 13;
```

```
//* Module input and output lines
output SYSCLK_N,
       RD N.
       WR_N;
inout [31:0] AD;
output ADDR3,
       ADDR2,
       ALE,
       DATAEN_N,
       BURST_N;
input RDCEN_N,
      ACK_N,
      RESET_N,
      INT5_N;
//* These three inputs are not actual pins on an IDT R3081. They
//* are used as interface pins to the bus simulator to command the
//* bus to initiate a read, burst read, or a write.
input [2:0] CURR_TRANS;
input [31:0] ADDRESS;
input [31:0] DATA;
reg SYSCLK_N;
wire RD_N, ADDR3, ADDR2, ALE, DATAEN_N, BURST_N;
//* Internal variables (line enables)
reg RD_N_enable;
reg WR_N_enable;
reg AD_enable;
reg ADDR3_enable;
reg ADDR2_enable;
reg ALE_enable;
reg DATAEN_N_enable;
reg BURST_N_enable;
reg [31:0] busValue;
reg startCycle;
reg bootCycle;
reg [31:0] saveAddress;
reg [31:0] saveData;
//* R3081 Multiplexed Address/Data Bus (32 bit)
busDriver
             #(t14_min,t14_typ,t14_max,
               t18_min, t18_typ, t18_max,
               t18_min, t18_typ, t18_max)
             ADBus(AD, busValue, AD_enable);
//* R3081 Output Line RD_N Driver
activeLowLineDriver
   #(t15_min,t15_typ,t15_max,t7_min,t7_typ,t7_max)
      RDLine(RD_N, RD_N_enable);
//* R3081 Output Line WR_N Driver
activeLowLineDriver
   #(t15_min,t15_typ,t15_max,t7_min,t7_typ,t7_max)
      WRLine(WR_N, WR_N_enable);
```

```
//* R3081 Output Line ADDR3 Driver
activeHighLineDriver
   #(t16_min,t16_typ,t16_max,t16_min,t16_typ,t16_max)
      ADDR3Line(ADDR3, ADDR3_enable);
//* R3081 Output Line ADDR2 Driver
activeHighLineDriver
   #(t16_min,t16_typ,t16_max,t16_min,t16_typ,t16_max)
      ADDR2Line(ADDR2, ADDR2_enable);
//* R3081 Output Line ALE Driver
activeHighLineDriver
   #(t8_min,t8_typ,t8_max,t9_min,t9_typ,t9_max)
      ALELine(ALE, ALE_enable);
//* R3081 Output Line DATAEN N Driver
activeLowLineDriver
   #(t15_min,t15_typ,t15_max,t11_min,t11_typ,t11_max)
      DATAENLine(DATAEN_N, DATAEN_N_enable);
//* R3081 Output Line BURST_N Driver
activeLowLineDriver
   #(t15_min,t15_typ,t15_max,t7_min,t7_typ,t7_max)
      BURSTLine(BURST_N, BURST_N_enable);
//* Initialize internal variables
initial
begin
  SYSCLK_N = 0;
  RD N enable = 'LOW;
  WR_N_enable = 'LOW;
  AD enable = 'LOW;
  ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
  ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
  ALE_enable = 'LOW;
  DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
  BURST_N_enable = 'LOW;
  busValue = 'bz;
  startCycle = 'FALSE;
   saveAddress = 'bz;
   saveData = 'bz;
end
//* Control System Reference Clock
always
   #25 SYSCLK_N = ~ SYSCLK_N;
//* Watch for change in CURR_TRANS input. If there is not a cycle
//* already started (startCycle = FALSE), then start a new cycle.
always @(CURR_TRANS)
  if (startCycle)
     startCycle = 'FALSE;
  else if (CURR_TRANS == 'NONE)
      startCycle = 'FALSE;
  else
      startCycle = 'TRUE;
```

```
//* At each positive edge of the system reference clock, if the
//* RESET_N input line is low, then set up system for initial burst
//* read from ROM at address 1FC00000
always @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
begin
   if (!RESET_N)
   begin
      busValue = 32'h1FC00000;
      AD_enable = 'HIGH:
      wait(RESET_N == 1);
      bootCycle = 'TRUE;
   end
end
//* Watch for negative edge of the interrupt line INT5_N. If a
//* cycle is currently in progress, then it is a cycle that hasn't
//* finished because of an incorrect control input. This means
//* that if, for example, this R3081 initiated a READ while the
//* other two R3081's initiated a WRITE, it will be stuck waiting
//* for signals from the memory controller which are associated
//* with a READ. These signals will not come as expected because
//* the system completed a WRITE cycle based on the voted majority
//* from the other two R3081's. After interrupting waiting
//* processor (if necessary), perform simulated, abbreviated
//* interrupt handler routine, beginning and ending the routine with
//* a WRITE to "dummy address" 1F800000
always @(negedge INT5_N)
begin
   if (!startCycle) //* Then cycle is in progress
      case (CURR_TRANS[2:0]) //* Interrupt waiting cycle
         3'b001:
         begin //* Interrupt a waiting READ_BYTE cycle
            disable readByte;
            @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
            begin
               RD_N_enable = 'LOW;
               DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
               ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
               ADDR2_enable = 'HIGH;
            @(posedge SYSCLK_N);
            end
         end
         3'b010:
         begin //* Interrupt a waiting READ_WORD cycle
            disable readWord;
            @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
            begin
               RD_N_enable = 'LOW;
               DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
               ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
               ADDR2_enable = 'HIGH;
            @(posedge SYSCLK_N);
            end
         end
```

```
3'b011:
   begin //* Interrupt a waiting READ_BURST cycle
      disable readBurst;
      @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
      begin
         RD_N_enable = 'LOW;
         ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
         ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
         DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
         BURST_N_enable = 'LOW;
      @(posedge SYSCLK_N);
   end
   3'b100:
   begin //* Interrupt a waiting WRITE BYTE cycle
      disable writeByte;
      @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
         WR_N_enable = 'LOW;
      @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
      begin
         AD_enable = 'LOW;
         ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
         ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
   3'b101:
   begin //* Interrupt a waiting WRITE_WORD cycle
      disable writeWord;
      @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
         WR_N_enable = 'LOW;
      @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
      begin
         AD_enable = 'LOW;
         ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
         ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
endcase
//* The saved address and data information from the last bus
//* cycle which caused the interrupt is used here simply to
//* show that differences between the three processors will
//* not cause a vote error interrupt to be generated due to
//* the WRITE to "dummy address" 1F800000. The use of the
//* saved address and data information is not intended to
//* show what would actually be written during an interrupt
//* routine.
writeWord(32'h1F800000, 32'hFFFFFFF);
if (saveAddress[31:0] >= 32'h00000000)
   writeWord(32'h00070000, saveAddress);
else
   writeWord(32'h00070000, 32'hA5A5A5A5);
if (saveData[31:0] >= 32'h00000000)
  writeWord(32'h00070004, saveData);
else
   writeWord(32'h00070004, 32'h78787878);
```

```
readWord(32'h00070000);
      readWord(32'h00070004);
      writeWord(32'h1F800000, 32'hFFFFFFFF);
   end
//* Initiate appropriate bus cycles based on CURR_TRANS input, and
//* if startCyle is TRUE, or if a boot cycle is necessary.
//* See the simulated, abbreviated interrupt handler routine above
//* for how the saved address and data information is used.
always
begin
   if (startCycle && (CURR_TRANS == 'READ_BYTE) && !bootCycle)
   begin
      saveAddress = ADDRESS;
      saveData = DATA;
      readByte(ADDRESS);
   end
   else if (startCycle && (CURR_TRANS == 'READ_WORD) && !bootCycle)
      saveAddress = ADDRESS;
      saveData = DATA;
      readWord(ADDRESS);
   else if ((startCycle && (CURR_TRANS == 'READ_BURST))
            || bootCycle)
   begin
      saveAddress = ADDRESS;
      saveData = DATA;
      readBurst (ADDRESS);
   else if (startCycle && (CURR_TRANS == 'WRITE_BYTE) &&
            !bootCycle)
   begin
      saveAddress = ADDRESS;
      saveData = DATA;
      writeByte(ADDRESS, DATA);
   end
   else if (startCycle && (CURR_TRANS == 'WRITE_WORD) &&
            !bootCycle)
   begin
     saveAddress = ADDRESS;
      saveData = DATA;
     writeWord(ADDRESS, DATA);
   end
   else
      @(posedge SYSCLK_N);
end
```

```
//* task: readByte
1/*
//* Description: Simulates the bus cycle for reading a byte from the
      given address by driving the A/D bus and associated control
1/*
      lines. It waits on the RDCEN_N input from the memory
//*
      controller to indicate the memory has placed valid data on the
//*
      bus to read.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
//*
              (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
task readByte;
  input [31:0] address;
  begin:readByte
     @(posedge SYSCLK N)
     begin
        startCycle = `FALSE;
        busValue[31:4] = address[31:4];
        //* Set BE[3:0] lines
        busValue[3] = !(address[1] && address[0]);
        busValue[2] = !(address[1] && !address[0]);
        busValue[1] = !(!address[1] && address[0]);
        busValue[0] = !(!address[1] && !address[0]);
        AD_enable = 'HIGH;
        RD_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ADDR3_enable = address[3]; //* Set word address
        ADDR2_enable = address[2];
        ALE_enable = 'HIGH;
     end
     @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        AD_enable = 'LOW;
        DATAEN_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ALE_enable = 'LOW;
     end
     @(posedge RDCEN_N);
     @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        RD_N_enable = 'LOW;
        DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
     end
endtask //* end task readByte
```

```
*********
//* task: readWord
//*
//* Description: Simulates the bus cycle for reading a word from the
//*
      given address by driving the A/D bus and associated control
//*
      lines. It waits on the RDCEN_N input from the memory
      controller to indicate the memory has placed valid data on the
//*
//*
      bus to read.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
               (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
//******************
task readWord;
  input [31:0] address;
  begin:readWord
     @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        startCycle = `FALSE;
        busValue[31:4] = address[31:4];
        //* Set BE[3:0] lines
        busValue[3] = 'LOW;
        busValue[2] = 'LOW;
busValue[1] = 'LOW;
busValue[0] = 'LOW;
        AD enable = 'HIGH;
        RD_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ADDR3_enable = address[3]; //* Set word address
        ADDR2_enable = address[2];
        ALE enable = 'HIGH;
     end
     @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        AD enable = 'LOW;
        DATAEN_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ALE enable = 'LOW;
     end
     @(posedge RDCEN_N);
     @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        RD_N_enable = 'LOW;
        DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
     end
  end
endtask //* end task readWord
```

```
//**********************
//* task: readBurst
//*
//* Description: Simulates the bus cycle for burst reading four
1/*
      contiguous words of memory starting at the given address
//*
     by driving the A/D bus and associated control lines.
//*
      It waits on the RDCEN_N four times input from the memory
//*
      controller to indicate the memory has placed valid data on
//*
      the bus to read.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
//*
               (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
//**********************
task readBurst:
  input [31:0] address;
  begin:readBurst
     @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        startCycle = 'FALSE;
        if (!bootCvcle)
           //* If it is a boot cycle, 1FC00000 will already
           //* be in busValue[31:0] for initial EPROM read
        begin
           busValue[31:4] = address[31:4];
           //* Set BE[3:0] lines
           busValue[3] = 'LOW;
           busValue[2] = 'LOW;
           busValue[1] = 'LOW;
           busValue[0] = 'LOW;
        end
        bootCycle = 'FALSE;
        AD_enable = 'HIGH;
        RD_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
                            //* Set word address of 1st word
        ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
        ALE_enable = 'HIGH;
        BURST_N_enable = 'HIGH;
     end
     @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        AD_enable = 'LOW;
        DATAEN_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ALE_enable = 'LOW;
     end
     @(posedge RDCEN_N); //* Wait for 1st word
     @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        ADDR2_enable = 'HIGH; //* Set word address of 2nd word
     end
     @(posedge RDCEN_N); //* Wait for 2nd word
```

```
@(negedge SYSCLK_N)
      begin
        ADDR3 enable = 'HIGH; //* Set word address of 3rd word
        ADDR2 enable = 'LOW;
      @(posedge RDCEN_N); //* Wait for 3rd word
      @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        ADDR2 enable = 'HIGH; //* Set word address of 4th word
      end
      @(posedge RDCEN_N); //* Wait for 4th word
      @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        RD_N_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
        DATAEN_N_enable = 'LOW;
        BURST_N_enable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
endtask //* end task readBurst
//**********************
//* task: writeByte
//*
//* Description: Simulates the bus cycle for writing a byte of the
//* given data at the given address by driving the A/D bus and
//*
      associated control lines. It waits on the ACK_N input from
//*
      the memory controller to indicate the data has been written.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
//*
              (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
//*****************
task writeByte;
  input [31:0] address, data;
  begin:writeByte
     @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        startCycle = `FALSE;
        busValue[31:4] = address[31:4];
        //* Set BE[3:0] lines
        busValue[3] = !(address[1] && address[0]);
        busValue[2] = !(address[1] && !address[0]);
        busValue[1] = !(!address[1] && address[0]);
        busValue[0] = !(!address[1] && !address[0]);
        AD enable = 'HIGH;
        WR_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ADDR3_enable = address[3]; //* Set word address
        ADDR2_enable = address[2];
        ALE enable = 'HIGH;
     end
```

```
@(negedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        ALE_enable = 'LOW;
        #(t19_min:t19_typ:t19_max)
          busValue = data;
     end
     @(posedge ACK_N);
     @(negedge SYSCLK N)
     begin
        WR_N_enable = 'LOW;
     @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        AD enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
        ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
     end
  end
endtask //* end task writeByte
//* task: writeWord
//*
\ensuremath{//*} Description: Simulates the bus cycle for writing a word of
//*
      given data at the given address by driving the A/D bus and
//*
      associated control lines. It waits on the ACK_N input from
//*
      the memory controller to indicate the data has been written.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA Data Sheet
//*
              (2) R3081 Family Hardware User's Guide
//********************
task writeWord;
  input [31:0] address, data;
  begin:writeWord
     @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
     begin
        startCycle = `FALSE;
        busValue[31:4] = address[31:4];
        //* Set BE[3:0] lines
        busValue[3] = 'LOW;
        busValue[2] = 'LOW;
        busValue[1] = 'LOW;
        busValue[0] = 'LOW;
        AD_enable = `HIGH;
        WR_N_enable = 'HIGH;
        ADDR3_enable = address[3]; //* Set word address
        ADDR2_enable = address[2];
        ALE_enable = `HIGH;
     end
```

```
@(negedge SYSCLK_N)
          begin
             ALE_enable = 'LOW;
              #(t19_min:t19_typ:t19_max)
                 busValue = data;
          end
          @(posedge ACK_N | !INT5_N);
          @(negedge SYSCLK_N)
          begin
             WR_N_enable = 'LOW;
          end
          @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
          begin
             AD_enable = 'LOW;
             ADDR3_enable = 'LOW;
             ADDR2_enable = 'LOW;
          end
       end
   endtask //* end task writeWord
endmodule //* end module r3081
//**********************
//* Module: busDriver
//*
//* Description: Assigns valueToGo to address/data bus when driveEnable
//* is HIGH, otherwise drives bus to high impedance.
//**************
module busDriver(busLine, valueToGo, driveEnable);
   parameter //* Parameters may be overridden for each
                    //* instantiation of this module
      R_{min} = 0, //* Minimum Rise Time
      R_{typ} = 2, //* Typical Rise Time R_{max} = 4, //* Maximum Rise Time
      F_min = 0, //* Minimum Fall Time

F_typ = 2, //* Typical Fall Time

F_max = 4, //* Maximum Fall Time

Z_min = 0, //* Minimum Time to high impedance

Z_typ = 2, //* Typical Time to high impedance

Z_max = 4; //* Maximum Time to high impedance
      inout [31:0] busLine;
      input [31:0] valueToGo;
                     driveEnable;
      input
   assign #(R_min:R_typ:R_max,F_min:F_typ:F_max,Z_min:Z_typ:Z_max)
           busLine = (driveEnable)?valueToGo:'bz;
endmodule //* end module busDriver
```

```
//**********************************
//* Module: activeLowLineDriver
1/*
//* Description: Drives contLine LOW when driveEnable is HIGH,
//* otherwise contLine remains HIGH.
module activeLowLineDriver(contLine, driveEnable);
  parameter //* Parameters may be overridden for each
                //* instantiation of this module
     R_min = 0,  //* Minimum Rise Time
R_typ = 2,  //* Typical Rise Time
R_max = 4,  //* Maximum Rise Time
F_min = 0,  //* Minimum Fall Time
F_typ = 2,  //* Typical Fall Time
F_max = 4;  //* Maximum Fall Time
  inout contLine;
  input driveEnable;
  assign #(R_min:R_typ:R_max,F_min:F_typ:F_max)
         contLine = (driveEnable)?0:1;
endmodule //* end module activeLowLineDriver
//* Module: activeLowLineDriver
//*
//* Description: Drives contLine HIGH when driveEnable is HIGH,
//* otherwise contLine remains LOW.
module activeHighLineDriver(contLine, driveEnable);
  parameter //* Parameters may be overridden for each
                //* instantiation of this module
     R_{min} = 0, //* Minimum Rise Time
     R_{typ} = 2, //* Typical Rise Time
     R_max = 4, //* Maximum Rise Time
     F_min = 0, //* Minimum Fall Time
     F_{typ} = 2, //* Typical Fall Time
     F_max = 4; //* Maximum Fall Time
  inout contLine;
  input driveEnable;
  assign #(R_min:R_typ:R_max,F_min:F_typ:F_max)
         contLine = (driveEnable)?1:0;
endmodule //* end module activeHighLineDriver
```

B. 32-BIT VOTER/ERROR DETECTOR AND TRANSCEIVER

Figure 48. 32-Bit Voter/Error Detector and Transceiver.

```
//*********************
//* File: vote32bit_xcvr.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog file for a 32 bit majority voter/error
//*
      detector and transceiver.
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/31/98
//**********************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//**********************
//* Module: bidirsw
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for a bidirectional switch
      with tristate. If CONT_LINE is high, then the INOUT_LINE
//*
//*
      information drives the LINE_OUT line (LINE_OUT = INOUT_LINE);
      otherwise, the LINE_OUT line is in a high impedance state. If
//*
      CONT_LINE is low, then the LINE_IN information drives the
//*
      INOUT_LINE (INOUT_LINE = LINE_IN); otherwise, the INOUT_LINE line
//*
//*
     is in a high impedance state.
module bidirsw (LINE_IN, LINE_OUT, INOUT_LINE, CONT_LINE);
  input LINE_IN;
  output LINE_OUT;
  inout INOUT_LINE;
  input CONT_LINE;
  assign INOUT_LINE = (!CONT_LINE)?LINE_IN: 'bz;
  assign LINE OUT = (CONT_LINE)?INOUT_LINE: 'bz;
endmodule //* end module bidirsw
```

```
//**********************************
//* Module: votecell xcvr
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for a one bit voter/error
      detector and transceiver. Votes 3 input bits to produce 1 output
//*
1/*
      bit. FORCE_A, FORCE_B, & FORCE_C inputs can be used to disable
//*
      voting and force data on A, B, or C through to the output.
//*
      Uses 4 bidirsw modules.
//********
module votecell_xcvr (A, B, C, FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD N, WR N,
                     MAJ_OUT, MAJ_ERROR);
   inout A, B, C;
   input FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N;
  inout MAJ_OUT;
  output MAJ_ERROR;
  wire MAJORITY;
  tri IN_A, IN_B, IN_C, RD_IN;
  //* If RD_N is low, then RD_IN drives all three input/output lines
  //* A, B, & C; otherwise, A, B, & C drive IN_A, IN_B, & IN_C which
  //* are then voted.
  bidirsw
     sw_1(RD_IN, IN_A, A, RD_N),
     sw_2(RD_IN, IN_B, B, RD_N),
     sw_3(RD_IN, IN_C, C, RD_N);
  //* If WR_N is low, then MAJORITY drives the output line MAJ OUT;
  //* otherwise, MAJ_OUT drives the RD_IN line.
  bidirsw
     sw_4(MAJORITY, RD_IN, MAJ_OUT, WR_N);
     not_1 (NOT_IN_A, IN_A),
     not_2 (NOT_IN_B, IN_B),
     not_3 (NOT_IN_C, IN_C),
     not_4 (NOT_FORCE_A, FORCE_A),
     not_5 (NOT_FORCE_B, FORCE_B),
     not_6 (NOT_FORCE_C, FORCE_C);
  and
     and_1 (and_1_out, IN_A, FORCE_A),
     and_2 (and_2_out, IN_B, FORCE_B),
     and_3 (and_3_out, IN_C, FORCE_C),
     and_4 (and_4_out, IN_A, IN_B, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C),
     and_5 (and_5_out, IN_A, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C),
     and_6 (and_6_out, IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C);
  or #15
     or_1 (MAJORITY, and_1_out, and_2_out, and_3_out, and_4_out,
           and_5_out, and_6_out);
```

```
and
      and_7 (and_7_out, NOT_IN_A, NOT_IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
            NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and 8 (and 8_out, NOT_IN_A, IN_B, NOT_IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
            NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and 9 (and 9 out, NOT_IN_A, IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_10 (and_10_out, IN_A, NOT_IN_B, NOT_IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
             NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_11 (and_11_out, IN_A, NOT_IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
              NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_12 (and_12_out, IN_A, IN_B, NOT_IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
              NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C);
   or #15
      or_2 (MAJ_ERROR, and_7_out, and_8_out, and_9_out, and_10_out,
            and_11_out, and_12_out);
endmodule //* end module votecell_xcvr
//***********************
//* Module: vote8bit_xcvr
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for an 8 bit voter/error
//*
       detector and transceiver. Votes 24 input bits to produce 8
       output bits. FORCE_A, FORCE_B, & FORCE_C inputs can be used to
//*
       disable voting and force data on A[7:0], B[7:0], or C[7:0]
//*
      through to the output. Uses eight votecell_xcvr modules.
//********
module vote8bit_xcvr (A, B, C, FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
                     VOTED_OUT, VOTE_ERROR);
   inout [7:0] A, B, C;
   input FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C;
   input RD_N, WR_N;
   inout [7:0] VOTED_OUT;
  output VOTE_ERROR;
  wire ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3, ERROR_4, ERROR_5, ERROR_6,
        ERROR_7;
  votecell_xcvr
      cello (A[0], B[0], C[0], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[0], ERROR_0),
      cell1 (A[1], B[1], C[1], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[1], ERROR_1),
      cell2 (A[2], B[2], C[2], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[2], ERROR_2),
      cell3 (A[3], B[3], C[3], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[3], ERROR_3),
      cell4 (A[4], B[4], C[4], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[4], ERROR_4),
      cell5 (A[5], B[5], C[5], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[5], ERROR_5),
      cell6 (A[6], B[6], C[6], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[6], ERROR_6),
      cell7 (A[7], B[7], C[7], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C, RD_N, WR_N,
            VOTED_OUT[7], ERROR_7);
```

```
or #10
      or_1 (VOTE_ERROR, ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3, ERROR 4,
           ERROR_5, ERROR_6, ERROR 7);
endmodule //* end module vote8bit xcvr
//* Module: vote32bit_xcvr
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for a 32 bit voter/error
//*
      detector and transceiver. Votes 96 input bits to produce 32
//*
      output bits. FORCE_A, FORCE_B, & FORCE_C inputs can be used
//*
      to disable voting and force data on A[31:0], B[31:0], or C[31:0]
1/*
      through to the output. Uses four vote8bit_xcvr modules.
//*
      This module drives the VOTE32BIT_XCVR block in the Cadence
//*
      Concept schematic.
//*
      NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
      must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
//*
      lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
//*
      Cadence Concept block.
//**********************************
module vote32bit_xcvr (A, B, C, FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C,
                     RD_N, WR_N, VOTED_OUT, VOTE_ERROR);
   inout [31:0] A, B, C;
   input FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C;
   input RD_N, WR_N;
   inout [31:0] VOTED OUT;
   output VOTE_ERROR;
  wire ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3;
  vote8bit_xcvr
     voter0 (A[31:24], B[31:24], C[31:24], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C,
             RD_N, WR_N, VOTED_OUT[31:24], ERROR_0),
     voter1 (A[23:16], B[23:16], C[23:16], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C,
             RD_N, WR_N, VOTED_OUT[23:16], ERROR_1),
     voter2 (A[15:8], B[15:8], C[15:8], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C,
             RD_N, WR_N, VOTED_OUT[15:8], ERROR_2),
     voter3 (A[7:0], B[7:0], C[7:0], FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C,
             RD_N, WR_N, VOTED_OUT[7:0], ERROR_3);
  or #10
     or_1 (VOTE_ERROR, ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3);
endmodule //* end module vote32bit_xcvr
```

C. 8-BIT VOTER/ERROR DETECTOR

```
VOTESBIT

A<7..0> A<7..0> D

B<7..0> B<7..0> VOTED_OUT<7..0> VOTED_OUT<7..0>
C<7..0> C<7..0> C<7..0>
FORCE_A

FORCE_B

FORCE_B

FORCE_C

FORCE_C
```

Figure 49. 8-Bit Voter/Error Detector.

```
//************************
//* File: vote8bit.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural file for 8 bit majority voter and
//*
                error detector using 8 votecell modules
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/06/98
                       **********
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//**********************
//* Module: votecell
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for a one bit voter/error
//*
      detector. Votes 3 input bits to produce 1 output bit. FORCE_A,
      FORCE_B, & FORCE_C inputs can be used to disable voting and
//*
      force data on A, B, or C through to the output.
//*
//*******
module votecell (IN_A, FORCE_A, IN_B, FORCE_B, IN_C, FORCE_C, MAJ_OUT,
               MAJ_ERROR);
   input IN_A, FORCE_A, IN_B, FORCE_B, IN_C, FORCE_C;
  output MAJ_OUT, MAJ_ERROR;
  not
     not_1 (NOT_IN_A, IN_A),
     not_2 (NOT_IN_B, IN_B),
     not_3 (NOT_IN_C, IN_C),
     not_4 (NOT_FORCE_A, FORCE_A),
     not_5 (NOT_FORCE_B, FORCE_B),
     not_6 (NOT_FORCE_C, FORCE_C);
  and
     and_1 (and_1_out, IN_A, FORCE_A),
     and_2 (and_2_out, IN_B, FORCE_B),
     and_3 (and_3_out, IN_C, FORCE_C),
and_4 (and_4_out, IN_A, IN_B, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C),
     and_5 (and_5_out, IN_A, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C),
     and_6 (and_6_out, IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C);
```

```
or #15
      or_1 (MAJ_OUT, and_1_out, and_2_out, and_3_out, and_4_out,
            and_5_out, and_6_out);
   and
      and_7 (and_7_out, NOT_IN_A, NOT_IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
            NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_8 (and_8_out, NOT_IN_A, IN_B, NOT_IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
            NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_9 (and_9_out, NOT_IN_A, IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A, NOT_FORCE_B,
            NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_10 (and_10_out, IN_A, NOT_IN_B, NOT_IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
             NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_11 (and_11_out, IN_A, NOT_IN_B, IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
             NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C),
      and_12 (and_12_out, IN_A, IN_B, NOT_IN_C, NOT_FORCE_A,
             NOT_FORCE_B, NOT_FORCE_C);
   or #15
      or_2 (MAJ_ERROR, and_7_out, and_8_out, and_9_out, and_10_out,
           and_11_out, and_12_out);
endmodule //* end module votecell
//*********************************
//* Module: vote8bit
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for an 8 bit voter/error
//*
       detector. Votes 24 input bits to produce 8 output bits.
//*
      FORCE_A, FORCE_B, & FORCE_C inputs can be used to disable voting
//*
      and force data on A[7:0], B[7:0], or C[7:0] through to the
//*
      output. Uses eight votecell modules. This module drives the
//*
      VOTE8BIT block in the Cadence Concept schematic.
//*
      NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
      must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
//*
      lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
1/*
     Cadence Concept block.
//*********
module vote8bit (A, FORCE_A, B, FORCE_B, C, FORCE_C, VOTED_OUT,
                VOTE_ERROR);
   input [7:0] A, B, C;
   input FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C;
   output [7:0] VOTED_OUT;
  output VOTE_ERROR;
  wire ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3, ERROR_4, ERROR_5, ERROR_6,
       ERROR 7:
  votecell
      cello (A[0], FORCE_A, B[0], FORCE_B, C[0], FORCE_C,
            VOTED_OUT[0], ERROR_0),
     cell1 (A[1], FORCE_A, B[1], FORCE_B, C[1], FORCE_C,
            VOTED_OUT[1], ERROR_1),
     cell2 (A[2], FORCE_A, B[2], FORCE_B, C[2], FORCE C,
            VOTED_OUT[2], ERROR_2),
```

- cell4 (A[4], FORCE_A, B[4], FORCE_B, C[4], FORCE_C,
 VOTED_OUT[4], ERROR_4),
- cell5 (A[5], FORCE_A, B[5], FORCE_B, C[5], FORCE_C,
 VOTED_OUT[5], ERROR_5),

or #10

or_1 (VOTE_ERROR, ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3, ERROR_4, ERROR_5, ERROR_6, ERROR_7);

endmodule //* end module vote8bit

D. 32-BIT VOTER/ERROR DETECTOR

VOTE32BÎT A<31..0>G B<31..0> B<31..0> VOTED_OUT<31..0> OUTED_OUT<31..0> O

Figure 50. 32-Bit Voter/Error Detector.

```
//*********************************
//* File: vote32bit.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural file for 32 bit majority voter and
                error detector using 4 voter_8bit modules
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/06/98
//**********************************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//*********************************
//* Module: vote32bit
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for a 32 bit voter/error
      detector. Votes 96 input bits to produce 32 output bits.
1/*
      FORCE_A, FORCE_B, & FORCE_C inputs can be used to disable voting
1/*
//*
      and force data on A[31:0], B[31:0], or C[31:0] through to the
1/*
      output. Uses four vote8bit modules. This module drives the
//*
      VOTE32BIT block in the Cadence Concept schematic.
      NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
      must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
//*
//*
      lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
      Cadence Concept block.
//****************
module vote32bit (A, FORCE_A, B, FORCE_B, C, FORCE_C, VOTED_OUT,
                VOTE_ERROR);
  input [31:0] A, B, C;
  input FORCE_A, FORCE_B, FORCE_C;
  output [31:0] VOTED_OUT;
  output VOTE_ERROR;
  wire ERROR_0, ERROR_1, ERROR_2, ERROR_3;
```

E. MEMORY/ADDRESS DECODER




Figure 51. Memory/Address Decoder.

```
//*********************************
//* File: mem_decoder.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural file for memory decoder to
//*
               generate various chip selects.
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/06/98
//*********************************
'timescale 1 ns / 1 ps
//* Module: mem_decoder
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for a memory decoder. Uses
//*
      input A[31:17] to generate three active low chip select outputs.
     This module drives the MEM_DECODER block in the Cadence Concept
//*
//*
//*
     NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
     must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
'//*
     lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
1/*
    Cadence Concept block.
//*********************************
module mem_decoder (A, RAMCS_N, EPROMCS_N, INTCS_N);
  input [31:17] A;
  output RAMCS_N, EPROMCS_N, INTCS_N;
  wire RAMCS_N, EPROMCS_N, INTCS_N;
//*
    RAM = 00000000 to 0007FFFF
//*
    EPROM = 1FC000000 to 1FC0xxxx
//*
     INT = 1F800000 "Dummy Address to Disable Vote Error Interrupts"
```

```
assign #45
               (!A[31] && !A[30] && !A[29] && !A[28] && //* 0
   RAMCS_N =
                !A[27] && !A[26] && !A[25] && !A[24] && //* 0
                !A[23] && !A[22] && !A[21] && !A[20] && //* 0
                                                       //* 7
                !A[19])?0:1;
assign #45
   EPROMCS_N = (!A[31] \&\& !A[30] \&\& !A[29] \&\& A[28] \&\& //* 1
                A[27] && A[26] && A[25] && A[24] && //* F
                A[23] && A[22] && !A[21] && !A[20] && //* C
                                                      //* 0
                !A[19] && !A[18] && !A[17])?0:1;
assign #45
              (!A[31] && !A[30] && !A[29] && A[28] && //* 1
   INTCS_N =
                A[27] && A[26] && A[25] && A[24] && //* F
                A[23] && !A[22] && !A[21] && !A[20] && //* 8
                                                  //* 0
                !A[19] && !A[18] && !A[17])?0:1;
```

endmodule //* end module mem_decoder

F. MEMORY/ERROR CONTROLLER




Figure 52. Memory/Error Controller.

```
//* File: mem cont.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral file for memory/error controller
//*
                to control timing cycles of various bus transactions.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT RISC Microprocessor Application Guide,
//*
                 Application Note AN-86, IDT79R3051 System Design
//*
                 Example
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 11/3/98
                    *************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//* Module: mem_cont
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for the memory/error
//*
      controller. Produces READ, WRITE, and BUS ERROR acknowledge
//*
      controls (RDCEN_N, ACK_N, BUSERROR_N) based on a 5 bit counter
//*
      and cycle end stall cycle (wait state) equations.
//*
      Also produces an interrupt if there is a vote error detected on
//*
      the ADDRERR, CONTERR, or DATAERR inputs. The ADDRERR, CONTERR,
//*
      and DATAERR inputs are saved at specified values of the counter,
//*
      and an error interrupt is generated only at the end of the
//*
      current cycle, so that the current cycle is allowed to finish.
//*
      If INTCS_N goes low during a dummy write to that address, this
//*
      signals the beginning of the interrupt handler routine and
//*
      vote error interrupts are disabled until INTCS_N goes low again,
//*
      which signals the end of the interrupt handler routine.
//*
      This module also controls the three lines ADDRTOFIFO N,
//*
      CONTTOFIFO_N, and DATATOFIFO_N which send the appropriate
//*
      information to the dedicated FIFOs. These three lines are
```

```
active low enable lines which allow, through the use of 32-bit
//*
       tri-state buffers, the ADDRESS, CONTROL, and DATA information
//*
//*
       from the processor to be multiplexed onto a single 32-bit bus
//*
      which is the input bus for each dedicated FIFO. The FIFOWE_N
//*
       line signals a write to the FIFOs at the appropriate time within
//*
      a bus cycle based on the 5-bit counter.
      This module drives the MEM_CONT block in the Cadence Concept
//*
//*
      schematic.
      NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
      must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
//*
//*
      lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
//*
      Cadence Concept block.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT RISC Microprocessor Application Guide,
//*
                   Application Note AN-86, IDT79R3051 System Design
//*
                   Example
//*
//***********************
module mem_cont (SYSCLK_N, RESET_N, VOTRD_N, VOTWR_N, VOTBURST_N,
                RAMCS_N, EPROMCS_N, INTCS_N, USEFIFO, DATAERR,
                ADDRERR, CONTERR, ENSTART_N, CYCEND_N,
                RDCEN N, ACK_N, BUSERROR_N, ADDRTOFIFO_N,
                DATATOFIFO_N, CONTTOFIFO_N, FIFOWE_N,
                VOTERROR_INT_N);
                       //* System clock from R3081
   input SYSCLK_N,
                       //* Reset from MEMEN module
        RESET_N,
                       //* Voted read from R3081
        VOTRD_N,
                       //* Voted write from R3081
        VOTWR N,
                       //* Voted burst from R3081
        VOTBURST_N,
                       //* RAM chip select from memory decoder
        RAMCS_N,
                      //* EPROM chip select from memory decoder
        EPROMCS_N,
        INTCS_N,
                     //* INT chip select from memory decoder
                       //* Set High (pull up) to Write to FIFOs
        USEFIFO,
                      //* Data Vote Error from 32-bit Data Voter
        DATAERR,
                      //* Address Vote Error from 32-bit Address Voter
        ADDRERR,
                       //* Control Vote Error from 8-bit Control Voter
        CONTERR;
                       //* Read/write output enable start
   output ENSTART_N,
                        //* Cyle end (composite ACK)
         CYCEND_N,
                         //* R3081 read buffer clock enable
         RDCEN_N,
                       '//* R3081 acknowledge
         ACK N,
                        //* R3081 bus error
         BUSERROR_N,
         ADDRTOFIFO_N, //* Address To FIFO to Address Buffers
                        //* Data To FIFO to Data Buffers
         DATATOFIFO_N,
                        //* Control To FIFO to Control Buffers
         CONTTOFIFO_N,
                        //* FIFO Write Enable
         FIFOWE_N,
         VOTERROR_INT_N; //* Interrupt Sent to R3081
  wire ENSTART_N, CYCEND_N, RDCEN_N, ACK_N, BUSERROR_N,
       ADDRTOFIFO_N, DATATOFIFO_N, CONTTOFIFO_N,
      , FIFOWE_N, VOTERROR_INT_N;
  reg [4:0] counter;
  reg voteErrorIntEn;
  wire voteError;
  reg saveError1, saveError2, saveError3, saveError4;
  reg voteErrorIntValueToGo;
```

```
//* At the positive edge of the reset input line, RESET_N, ensure
//* vote error interrupts are enabled, the interrupt line is HIGH,
//* and the saved error flags are initialized to indicated no error
//* has been detected.
always
   @(posedge RESET_N)
      begin
         voteErrorIntEn = 1;
         voteErrorIntValueToGo = 1;
         saveError1 = 0;
         saveError2 = 0;
         saveError3 = 0:
         saveError4 = 0;
      end
//* At each positive edge of the system reference clock generated
//* by the R3081, reset the counter if RESET N or CYCEND N goes low.
//* Increment the counter if VOTRD N or VOTWR N is low. Save the
//* error flag at the four different counter values, so that the
//* cycle is allowed to finish. The use of four different saved
//* values allows a single READ or WRITE to finish as well as a
//* BURST READ to finish. If the current transaction is a BURST
//* READ, then an ADDRERR, CONTERR, or DATAERR is sampled four times.
always
   @(posedge SYSCLK_N)
   begin
      if (!RESET_N || !CYCEND_N)
         counter = 0;
      else if (!VOTRD_N | !VOTWR_N)
         counter = counter + 1;
      if (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (counter == 5'h05))
         saveError1 = voteError;
      else if (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (counter == 5'h09))
         saveError2 = voteError;
      else if (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (counter == 5'h0B))
         saveError3 = voteError;
      else if (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (counter == 5'h17))
         saveError4 = voteError;
      //* If at the end of a cycle, and one of the saved errors
      //* indicates an error occurred, then generate an interrupt
      //* only if vote error interrupts are currently enabled.
      if (RESET_N && !CYCEND_N && voteErrorIntEn &&
            (saveError1 | saveError2 | saveError3 | saveError4))
         voteErrorIntValueToGo = 0;
   end
//* Watch for negative edge of INTCS_N, and disable/reenable vote
//* error interrupts.
always
   @(negedge INTCS_N)
   begin
      voteErrorIntEn = ~voteErrorIntEn;
      voteErrorIntValueToGo = 1;
      saveError1 = 0;
      saveError2 = 0;
```

```
saveError3 = 0;
      saveError4 = 0;
   end
//* Update internal voteError flag
assign #30 voteError = (ADDRERR || DATAERR || CONTERR)?1:0;
//* Update VOTERROR_INT_N output line
assign #30 VOTERROR_INT_N = voteErrorIntValueToGo;
//* Update ENSTART_N output line
assign #30 ENSTART_N = (RESET_N && (counter >= 1) && CYCEND_N)?0:1;
//* Update CYCEND_N output line
assign #30 CYCEND_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (
      (!RAMCS_N && (counter == 5'h05) && !VOTRD_N && VOTBURST_N)
      (!RAMCS_N && (counter == 5'h17) && !VOTRD_N && !VOTBURST_N)
      (!RAMCS_N && (counter == 5'h06) && !VOTWR_N)
      (!EPROMCS_N && (counter == 5'h05) && !VOTRD_N && VOTBURST_N)
      (!EPROMCS_N && (counter == 5'h17) && !VOTRD_N && !VOTBURST_N)
      (!INTCS_N && (counter == 5'h06) && !VOTWR_N)
      (counter == 8'h1F)
   ))?0:1;
//* Update RDCEN_N output line
assign #30 RDCEN_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (
      (!RAMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                          (counter == 5'h03)
         (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h09))
         (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0F))
         (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h15))
   | (!EPROMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                          (counter == 5'h03)
         (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h09))
         (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0F))
         (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h15))
   ))?0:1;
//* Update ACK_N output line
assign #30 ACK_N = (RESET_N && CYCEND_N &&
                        (!RAMCS_N && !VOTWR_N &&
                           (counter == 5'h06)
                     | | (!RAMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                           (counter == 5'h03)
                     | | (!EPROMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                           (counter == 5'h03)
```

```
| (!INTCS N && !VOTWR N &&
                          (counter == 5'h06)
                   ))?0:1;
//* Update BUSERROR N output line
assign #30 BUSERROR_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && (counter == 5'h1F))?0:1;
//* Update ADDRTOFIFO_N output line
assign #30 ADDRTOFIFO_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && USEFIFO &&
                                  (counter == 5'h01)
         (!EPROMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h07))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0D))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h13))
         | (!RAMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h07))
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0D))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h13))
            )
      )
   )?0:1;
//* Update CONTTOFIFO_N output line
assign #30 CONTTOFIFO_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && USEFIFO &&
                                  (counter == 5'h03)
         | (!EPROMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h09))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0F))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h15))
         | (!RAMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h09))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0F))
                 (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h15))
            )
  )?0:1;
```

```
//* Update DATATOFIFO_N output line
assign #30 DATATOFIFO_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && USEFIFO &&
                                   (counter == 5'h05)
         | (!EPROMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0B))
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h11))
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h17))
         || (!RAMCS_N && !VOTRD_N &&
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h0B))
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'hll))
                  (!VOTBURST_N && (counter == 5'h17))
            )
   )?0:1;
//* Update FIFOWE_N output line
assign #30 FIFOWE_N =
   (RESET_N && CYCEND_N && USEFIFO &&
            (counter == 5'h01)
            (counter == 5'h03)
            (counter == 5'h05)
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h07))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h09))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h0B))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h0D))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h0F))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h11))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h13))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h15))
            (!VOTBURST_N && !VOTRD_N && (counter == 5'h17))
  )?0:1;
```

endmodule //* end module mem_cont

G. MEMORY READ/WRITE ENABLE CONTROLLER




Figure 53. Memory Read/Write Enable Controller.

```
//* File: mem_en.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral file for generating memory read
//*
               and write enable signals.
1/*
//* Reference: (1) IDT RISC Microprocessor Application Guide,
//*
                 Application Note AN-86, IDT79R3051 System Design
//*
                 Example
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 11/1/98
//*******
                     ************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//********
               ******************
//* Module: mem_cont
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for generating the read
//*
     and write enables for the memory controls.
//*
     This module drives the MEM_EN block in the Cadence Concept
//*
     schematic.
//*
    NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
     must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
//*
     lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
//*
     Cadence Concept block.
//*
//* Reference: (1) IDT RISC Microprocessor Application Guide,
//*
                 Application Note AN-86, IDT79R3051 System Design
//*
                 Example
//*
```

```
module mem_en (SYSCLK_N, PWRRESET_N, VOTRD_N, VOTWR_N, ENSTART_N,
               CYCEND N, BENO, BEN1, BEN2, BEN3, RESET_N, WREN_N,
               WRDATAEN N, WREN NA, WREN NB, WREN_NC, WREN_ND, RDEN_N,
               RDDATAEN_N);
   input SYSCLK_N,
                     //* System clock from R3081
         PWRRESET_N, //* Power (Global) reset
                   //* Voted read from R3081
         VOTRD N.
                     //* Voted write from R3081
         VOTWR_N,
         ENSTART_N, //* Enable start from memory controller
                     //* Cycle end from memory controller
         CYCEND_N,
                     //* Byte 0 enable (active low) from R3081 (ADDR[0])
         BEN0,
                     //* Byte 1 enable (active low) from R3081 (ADDR[1])
         BEN1,
                    //* Byte 2 enable (active low) from R3081 (ADDR[2])
         BEN2,
                    //* Byte 3 enable (active low) from R3081 (ADDR[3])
         BEN3;
                     //* Synchronzied reset line to rest of board
  output RESET_N,
                       //* Not used
         WREN N.
                      //* Write data xcvr enable
         WRDATAEN_N,
                      //* Write enable for byte 0
//* Write enable for byte 1
         WREN_NA,
         WREN NB,
                       //* Write enable for byte 2
         WREN NC,
                      //* Write enable for byte 3
         WREN_ND,
                       //* Read output enable (for words)
         RDEN_N,
         RDDATAEN_N; //* Read data xcvr enable
  wire RESET_N, WREN_N, WRDATAEN_N, WREN_NA, WREN_NB, WREN_NC, WREN_ND,
        RDEN_N, RDDATAEN_N;
  assign #30 WREN_NA =
      ! (RESET_N &&
          (!VOTWR_N && !BENO && !ENSTART_N && CYCEND_N)
  assign #30 WREN_NB =
      ! (RESET_N &&
          (!VOTWR_N && !BEN1 && !ENSTART_N && CYCEND_N)
      );
  assign #30 WREN_NC =
      !(RESET_N &&
          (!VOTWR_N && !BEN2 && !ENSTART_N && CYCEND_N)
      );
  assign #30 WREN_ND =
      !(RESET_N &&
          (!VOTWR_N && !BEN3 && !ENSTART_N && CYCEND_N)
      );
  assign #30 WREN_N =
      !(RESET_N &&
          ((!VOTWR_N && CYCEND_N) | (!WREN_N && !CYCEND_N))
      );
```

H. 16-BIT NON-INVERTING TRI-STATE BUFFER




Figure 54. 16-Bit Non-Inverting Tri-State Buffer.

```
**************
//* File: buff_16bit.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural file for 16 bit tri-state
//*
               non-inverting buffer.
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 11/16/98
//*************************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//***************************
//* Module: interface
//*
//* Description: Verilog structural module for simulating a 16-bit
//*
     tri-state non-inverting buffer.
//*
     This module drives the BUFF_16BIT block in the Cadence Concept
1/*
     schematic.
//*
     NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
     must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
1/*
//*
     lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
     Cadence Concept block.
//***********************
module buff_16bit (INO, IN1, IN2, IN3, IN4, IN5, IN6, IN7,
                IN8, IN9, IN10, IN11, IN12, IN13, IN14, IN15,
                OUTO, OUT1, OUT2, OUT3, OUT4, OUT5, OUT6, OUT7,
                OUT8, OUT9, OUT10, OUT11, OUT12, OUT13, OUT14, OUT15,
                OE N);
```

endmodule //* end module buff_16bit

146

I. EPROM




Figure 55. EPROM.

```
//***********************
//* File: eprom.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral file for an EPROM.
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/28/98
//***********************
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
//* Define how many entries are in the data file for internal memory
//* storage.
'define EPROM_ENTRIES 48
               ******************
//* Module: eprom
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for simulating an EPROM.
//*
      Although because of the number of address lines, it is capable of
     being 128k, it has been limited to 48 entries to reduce data
//*
     entry for simulation purposes. The memory data and intialized
//*
//*
     the data file EPROM.data.
//*
     This module drives the EPROM block in the Cadence Concept
//*
     schematic.
//*
    NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
     must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
1/*
      lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
//*
    Cadence Concept block.
//********************
module eprom (A0, A1, A14_2, OUTPUTENABLE_N, CHIPSELECT_N, DATA);
  //* EPROM Maximum Access Times *//
  parameter
     CY27C256_max_access = 45;
  //* Module input and output lines
  input A0,
       A1;
  input [14:2] A14_2;
```

```
input OUTPUTENABLE N,
        CHIPSELECT N;
  output [31:0] DATA;
  //* Internal variables (line enables)
  wire [14:0] combined address;
  reg [31:0] memory[0:('EPROM_ENTRIES - 1)];
  //* Intialize internal memory from data file
  initial
     begin
        $readmemh("EPROM.data", memory);
  //* Combine input lines into single address
  assign combined_address[0] = A0;
  assign combined_address[1] = A1;
  assign combined_address[14:2] = A14_2;
  //* Drive data bus with data from EPROM at combined address if
  //* OUTPUTENABLE_N and CHIPSELECT_N are both low. Drive to
  //* high impedance otherwise.
  assign #(CY27C256_max_access) DATA =
         (!OUTPUTENABLE_N &&
!CHIPSELECT_N)?memory[combined_address]:'bz;
endmodule
//* File: EPROM.data
//*
//* Description: Capable of being 128K EPROM Memory File
//*
                17 address lines (A[16] - A[0]) =
//*
                131072 lines of 32-bit data/instructions allowed
//*
     Only 48 entries have been supplied to reduce data entry for
//*
     simulation purposes.
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 10/28/98
//*******
                **************
        //* ADDRESS
00000000 //* 00000h
00000001
00000002
00000003
00000004
00000005
00000006
00000007 //* 00007h
80000008
00000009
A000000A
0000000B
000000C
Q000000D
0000000E
0000000F //* 0000Fh
00000010 //* 00010h
```

```
00000011
00000012
00000013
00000014
00000015
00000016
00000017 //* 00017h
00000018
00000019
0000001A
0000001B
0000001C
0000001D
0000001E
          //* 0001Fh
0000001F
          //* 00020h
00000020
00000021
00000022
00000023
00000024
00000025
00000026
          //* 00027h
00000027
00000028
00000029
0000002A
0000002B
0000002C
0000002D
0000002E
0000002F //* 0002Fh
```

J. SYSTEM INTERFACE




Figure 56. System Interface.

```
//* File: interface.v
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral file for simulating the
//*
                interface portion of the TMR testbed.
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 11/15/98
                       ***********
'timescale 1 ns /1 ps
'define HIGH
                 1
'define LOW
                 0
//* Module: interface
//*
//* Description: Verilog behavioral module for simulating the
      interface of the TMR Testbed which removes the information from
//*
//*
      the three FIFOs dedicated to the three microprocessors.
//*
      The data that is read from each FIFO is formatted and written to
//*
      text trace file 'TMR_trace.out'. If the file doesn't exist, it
//*
      is created in the current working directory. If the file already
//*
      exists, it is emptied and overwritten.
//*
      This module drives the INTERFACE block in the Cadence Concept
//*
      schematic.
//*
      NOTE: Module name must match the Cadence Concept block name, but
//*
      must be in lower case. Signal names of inout, input, and output
//*
      lines and size (or bus width) must match the signal names in the
      Cadence Concept block.
//**********************************
module interface (FIFOAOUT, FIFOBOUT, FIFOCOUT, EF_A1_N, EF_A2_N,
                EF_B1_N, EF_B2_N, EF_C1_N, EF_C2_N, READCLK,
                A_OE_N, B_OE_N, C_OE_N, FIFORD_N);
```

```
//* Module input and output lines
   input [31:0] FIFOAOUT,
               FIFOBOUT,
               FIFOCOUT;
               EF_A1_N, EF_A2_N,
   input
               EF_B1_N, EF_B2_N,
               EF_C1_N, EF_C2_N;
               READCLK.
   output
               A_OE_N,
               B_OE_N,
               C_OE_N,
               FIFORD_N;
  reg READCLK;
  wire FIFORD_N;
  wire fifoAEmpty_N, fifoBEmpty_N, fifoCEmpty_N;
  wire A_OE_N, B_OE_N, C_OE_N;
  reg [31:0] fileHandle;
  reg aOEenable, bOEenable, cOEenable, fifoRdEnable;
  reg [31:0] Adata, Bdata, Cdata, saveAdata, saveBdata, saveCdata;
  initial
  begin
     READCLK = 'LOW;
     fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
     aOEenable = 'LOW;
     bOEenable = 'LOW;
     cOEenable = 'LOW;
     fileHandle = $fopen("TMR_trace.out");
     $fdisplay(fileHandle, "
                                       CPU A
                                                    CPU B
CPU C");
     $fdisplay(fileHandle,
end
  //* Control FIFO interface clock
  always
     #12.5 READCLK = ~READCLK;
  //* Composite FIFO empty flags. If not empty, signals will be high.
  assign #30 fifoAEmpty_N = (EF_A1_N && EF_A2_N)?1:0;
  assign #30 fifoBEmpty_N = (EF_B1_N && EF_B2_N)?1:0;
  assign #30 fifoCEmpty_N = (EF_C1_N && EF_C2_N)?1:0;
  assign FIFORD_N = (fifoRdEnable)?0:1;
  assign A_OE_N = (aOEenable)?0:1;
  assign B_OE_N = (bOEenable)?0:1;
  assign C_OE_N = (cOEenable)?0:1;
  always
  begin
     wait((fifoAEmpty_N == 'HIGH) && (fifoBEmpty_N == 'HIGH) &&
          (fifoCEmpty_N == 'HIGH))
```

```
begin
    //* Read FIFO A -- should be address from CPU A
   @(negedge READCLK)
   begin
       #5;
       fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
      aOEenable = 'HIGH;
      @(posedge READCLK)
      begin
          #10;
         Adata[31:0] = FIFOAOUT[31:0];
          fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
          aOEenable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
   //* Read FIFO B -- should be address from CPU B
   @(negedge READCLK)
   begin
      #5;
      fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
      bOEenable = 'HIGH:
      @ (posedge READCLK)
      begin
         #10;
         Bdata[31:0] = FIFOBOUT[31:0];
         fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
         bOEenable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
   //* Read FIFO C -- should be address from CPU C
   @(negedge READCLK)
   begin
      fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
      cOEenable = 'HIGH;
      @(posedge READCLK)
      begin
         #10;
         Cdata[31:0] = FIFOCOUT[31:0];
         fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
         cOEenable = 'LOW:
      end
   end
   //* Output address info from FIFOs to diary file
   $fdisplay(fileHandle, "Address = %h\t%h\t%h", Adata, Bdata,
             Cdata);
end
.wait((fifoAEmpty_N == 'HIGH) && (fifoBEmpty_N == 'HIGH) &&
     (fifoCEmpty_N == 'HIGH))
begin
   //* Read FIFO A -- should be control from CPU A
   @(negedge READCLK)
```

```
begin
      #5;
      fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
      aOEenable = 'HIGH;
      @(posedge READCLK)
      begin
         #10;
         Adata[31:0] = FIFOAOUT[31:0];
         fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
         aOEenable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
   //* Read FIFO B -- should be control from CPU B
   @(negedge READCLK)
   begin
      #5;
      fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
      bOEenable = 'HIGH;
      @ (posedge READCLK)
      begin
         #10:
         Bdata[31:0] = FIFOBOUT[31:0];
         fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
         bOEenable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
   //* Read FIFO C -- should be control from CPU C
   @(negedge READCLK)
   begin
      #5;
      fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
      cOEenable = 'HIGH;
      @(posedge READCLK)
      begin
         #10;
         Cdata[31:0] = FIFOCOUT[31:0];
         fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
         cOEenable = 'LOW;
      end
   end
   //* Output control info from FIFOs to diary file
   $fdisplay(fileHandle, "Control = %h\t%h\t%h", Adata, Bdata,
             Cdata);
   //* Save CONTROL data for displaying control status at end
   //* of reading DATA data from FIFO
   saveAdata = Adata;
   saveBdata = Bdata;
   saveCdata = Cdata;
end
wait((fifoAEmpty_N == 'HIGH) && (fifoBEmpty_N == 'HIGH) &&
     (fifoCEmpty_N == 'HIGH))
begin
```

```
//* Read FIFO A -- should be data to/from CPU A
@(negedge READCLK)
begin
   #5;
   fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
   aOEenable = 'HIGH:
   @ (posedge READCLK)
   begin
      #10;
      Adata[31:0] = FIFOAOUT[31:0];
      fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
      aOEenable = 'LOW;
   end
end
//* Read FIFO B -- should be data to/from CPU B
@(negedge READCLK)
begin
   #5;
   fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
   bOEenable = 'HIGH;
   @(posedge READCLK)
   begin
      #10;
      Bdata[31:0] = FIFOBOUT[31:0];
      fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
      bOEenable = 'LOW;
   end
end
//* Read FIFO C -- should be data to/from CPU C
@(negedge READCLK)
begin
   #5;
   fifoRdEnable = 'HIGH;
   cOEenable = 'HIGH;
   @(posedge READCLK)
   begin
      #10;
      Cdata[31:0] = FIFOCOUT[31:0];
      fifoRdEnable = 'LOW;
      cOEenable = 'LOW;
   end
end
//* Output data info from FIFOs to diary file
$fdisplay(fileHandle, "Data = %h\t%h\t%h", Adata, Bdata,
          Cdata);
case(saveAdata[4:2])
   3'b010:
      $fdisplay(fileHandle, "A Control = Burst Read Word %d",
                saveAdata[1:0]);
   3'b110:
      $fdisplay(fileHandle, "A Control = Read");
   3'b101:
      $fdisplay(fileHandle, "A Control = Write");
   default:
```

```
$fdisplay(fileHandle, "A Control = Illegal Bus
Transaction");
        endcase
        case(saveBdata[4:2])
           3'b010:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "B Control = Burst Read Word %d",
                       saveBdata[1:0]);
           3'b110:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "B Control = Read");
           3'b101:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "B Control = Write");
           default:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "B Control = Illegal Bus
Transaction");
        endcase
        case(saveCdata[4:2])
           3'b010:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "C Control = Burst Read Word %d",
                       saveCdata[1:0]);
           3'b110:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "C Control = Read");
           3'b101:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "C Control = Write");
           default:
              $fdisplay(fileHandle, "C Control = Illegal Bus
Transaction");
        endcase
        $fdisplay(fileHandle,
end
  end
endmodule //* end module interface
```

APPENDIX D. CADENCE SCRIPT CONTROL LANGUAGE FILES

This appendix contains two SCL files which were used to generate the simulation results obtained in Chapter V.

A. NORMAL (ERROR FREE) SCL FILE

```
//*********************
//* File: normal.scl
//*
//* Description: Cadence Logic Workbench Opensim Script Control
       Language (SCL) file. This file executes several bus cycles for
//*
       the TMR Testbed schematic. All of the bus cycles in this file
//*
       should be error free.
//*
//*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 11/30/98
//**********************
//* Definitions for transaction codes
//* (same as in verilog file for R3081 module)
NONE = 0
READ_BYTE = 1
READ_WORD = 2
READ_BURST = 3
WRITE_BYTE = 4
WRITE_WORD = 5
//* Initialize board interface lines
DEPOSIT 'PWRRESET*', 0
DEPOSIT 'TESTEN1*', 0
DEPOSIT 'FORCE_A', 0
DEPOSIT 'FORCE_B', 0
DEPOSIT 'FORCE_C', 0
DEPOSIT 'USEFIFO', 1
DEPOSIT 'PULL_UP', 1
DEPOSIT 'GND', 0
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
//* These initializations are necessary to prevent timing violations
//* in the simulation
DEPOSIT 'RAMCS*', 1
DEPOSIT 'EPROMCS*', 1
DEPOSIT 'INTCS*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_A*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_B*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_C*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_D*', 1
DEPOSIT 'RDEN*', 1
```

```
//* Hold board reset and release
sim 1000ns
DEPOSIT 'PWRRESET*', 1
//* Advance simulation clock during initial burst read from EPROM
//* address 1FC00000 which is initiated by the R3081 modules
while (#'VOTRD*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTRD*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
sim 50ns
//************
//* Test Burst Read Bus Cycle from EPROM
//**************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
//* Burst Read next EPROM Address
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x1FC00010
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x1FC00010
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x1FC00010
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTRD*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTRD*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
sim 50ns
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to Lower RAM Boundary
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x11111111
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x11111111
```

```
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x11111111
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzzz DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 50ns
//***********
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//***********
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000004
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000004
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000004
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x22222222
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x22222222
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x22222222
while (#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 50ns
```

```
//**************
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//*************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000008
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000008
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000008
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x33333333
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x33333333
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x33333333
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 50ns
//*************
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//*************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x000000C
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x0000000C
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x000000C
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x4444444
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x44444444
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x44444444
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
```

```
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 50ns
//*************
//* Test Read Bus Cycle
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (READ_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (READ_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (READ_WORD)
//* Read Lower RAM Boundary
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x0000000
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x0000000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x0000000
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTRD*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTRD*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
sim 50ns
//************
//* Test Burst Read Bus Cycle from RAM
//**********
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
//* Burst Read from RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000000
```

```
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTRD*' == 1)
    sim 25ns
while (#'VOTRD*' == 0)
    sim 25ns

DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz

//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes and FIFO is
//* emptied
sim 150ns
```

B. ERROR SCL FILE

```
//****************************
//* File: errors.scl
//*
//* Description: Cadence Logic Workbench Opensim Script Control
//*
      Language (SCL) file. This file executes several bus cycles for
//*
      the TMR Testbed schematic. Several of the bus cycles in this
//*
      file should contain errors.
1/*
//* Author: John C. Payne, Jr.
//* Date: 11/30/98
//**********************************
//* Definitions for transaction codes
//* (same as in verilog file for R3081 module)
NONE = 0
READ_BYTE = 1
READ_WORD = 2
READ_BURST = 3
WRITE_BYTE = 4
WRITE_WORD = 5
//* Initialize board interface lines
DEPOSIT 'PWRRESET*', 0
DEPOSIT 'TESTEN1*', 0
DEPOSIT 'FORCE_A', 0
DEPOSIT 'FORCE_B', 0
DEPOSIT 'FORCE_C', 0
DEPOSIT 'USEFIFO', 1
DEPOSIT 'PULL_UP', 1
DEPOSIT 'GND', 0
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
//* These initializations are necessary to prevent timing violations
//* in the simulation
DEPOSIT 'RAMCS*', 1
```

```
DEPOSIT 'EPROMCS*', 1
DEPOSIT 'INTCS*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_A*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_B*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_C*', 1
DEPOSIT 'WREN_D*', 1
DEPOSIT 'RDEN*', 1
//* Hold board reset and release
sim 1000ns
DEPOSIT 'PWRRESET*', 1
//* Advance simulation clock during initial burst read from EPROM
//* address 1FC00000 which is initiated by the R3081 modules
while (\#'VOTRD*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTRD*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
sim 50ns
//***********
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//* - with single error in address inputs
//*************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000100
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x0000000
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x11111111
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x11111111
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x11111111
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle and the interrupt
//* service routine which is initiated by the R3081 complete
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 3700ns
```

```
//**************
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//*
     - with multiple errors in address
//*
        inputs
//**************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000004
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x01000004
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000005
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x22222222
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x22222222
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x22222222
//* Advance simulation clock
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle and the interrupt
//* service routine which is initiated by the R3081 complete
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $XZZZZZZZZ
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $XZZZZZZZZ
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $XZZZZZZZZ
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $XZZZZZZZZ
sim 3700ns
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//* - with single error in data inputs
//**************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000008
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000008
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000008
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x33333333
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x33333333
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x33333337
```

```
//* Advance simulation clock
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle and the interrupt
//* service routine which is initiated by the R3081 complete
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 3700ns
//***********
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//* - with multiple errors in data inputs
//*************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x000000C
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x000000C
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x000000C
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xF4444444
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x44A44444
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x44444447
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTWR*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle and the interrupt
//* service routine which is initiated by the R3081 complete
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 3700ns
```

```
//***************
//* Test Burst Read Bus Cycle
//*********************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
//* Burst Read from RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00000000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00000000
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTRD*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTRD*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 50ns
//**************
//* Test Write Bus Cycle
//* - with error in control inputs
//****************
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (READ_BURST)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (WRITE_WORD)
//* Write to RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00004000
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00004000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00004000
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $x78787878
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $x78787878
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $x78787878
//* Advance simulation clock
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 1)
  sim 25ns
while (\#'VOTWR*' == 0)
  sim 25ns
```

```
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle and the interrupt
//* service routine which is initiated by the R3081 complete
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
sim 3700ns
//***********
//* Test Read Bus Cycle
//*********
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (READ_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (READ_WORD)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (READ_WORD)
//* Burst Read from RAM
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $x00004000
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $x00004000
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $x00004000
//* Advance simulation clock
while (#'VOTRD*' == 1)
   sim 25ns
while (#'VOTRD*' == 0)
   sim 25ns
DEPOSIT 'A_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'B_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'C_TRANS', (NONE)
DEPOSIT 'A_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_ADDR', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'A_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'B_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
DEPOSIT 'C_DATA', $xzzzzzzzz
//* Advance sim clock to ensure previous cycle completes and FIFO is
//* emptied
sim 150ns
```

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Silverstein, S., "PanAmSat Scrambles to Restore Service," Space News, vol. 9, no. 21, p. 3, Springfield, VA, 1998.
- 2. Rhea, J., "The Challenges of Space on the New COTS Frontier," Military and Aerospace Electronics, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 14-18, Springfield, VA, 1997.
- 3. McHale, John, "Space Electronics to Release Space Board Later this Year," Military and Aerospace Electronics, vol. 9, no. 7, p. 6, Springfield, VA, 1998.
- 4. Ritter, James C., "Spacecraft Anomalies and Future Trends," Radiation Effects Challenges for 21st Century Space Systems (1996 IEEE Nuclear and Space Radiation Effects Conference Short Course), IEEE Publishing Services, Piscataway, NJ, 1996.
- 5. The IDT79R3071, IDT79R3081 RISController Hardware User's Manual, Integrated Device Technology, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, 1994.
- 6. Johnson, Barry W., Design and Analysis of Fault Tolerant Digital Systems, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- 7. Anderson, T. and P. A. Lee, Fault Tolerance Principles and Practice, Prentice-Hall International, 1981.
- 8. Wakerly, J. F., "Microcomputer Reliability Improvement Using Triple-Modular Redundancy," Proceedings of the IEEE 64(6), pp. 889-895, June, 1976.
- 9. Ng, Andrew, IDT79R3051 System Design Example, RISC Microprocessor Applications Guide, pp. 1-31, Integrated Device Technology, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, 1995.
- 10. Thomas, Donald E. and Philip R. Moorby, *The Verilog Hardware Description Language*, 3rd Edition, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, 1996.
- 11. R3081 Datasheet, IDT79R3081 RISController with FPA, file 2889.pdf, located at internet address http://www.idt.com/products/product_files/79R3081.html

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1.	Defense Technical Information Center	2
2.	Dudley Knox Library Naval Postgrađuate School 411 Dyer Rd. Monterey, California 93943-5101	2
3.	Chairman, Code EC	1
4.	Professor Alan Ross	2
5.	Professor Douglas Fouts	1
6.	LT John C. Payne, Jr., USN	1
7.	Ron Phelps	1